Recent changes in the kernel memory accounting

Roman Gushchin,

Facebook

2 years ago

Enabled by default on cgroup v1 and cgroup v2
Charging/uncharging is performed by the page allocator

Every charged page keeps a pointer (page->mem_cgroup) and a reference to the memory cgroup

Slab (SLAB/SLUB) infrastructure is replicated for each memory cgroup Socket memory is an exception

Dying cgroups problem

Dying cgroup is a cgroup deleted by a user but pinned in the memory Memory cgroup is a large objects (xxx KB or x MB)

The number of dying cgroups grew everywhere

Vmalloc-based kernel stacks

```
2 stacks are cached per CPU
Charging on allocation, uncharging on freeing
...
Or maybe not?
...
Charging on clone(), uncharging on exit()
```

VFS cache

Cgroups are created and destroyed But some inodes and dentries stay

. . .

pinning original cgroups

How to release a memory cgroup without releasing all charged objects?

Slab reparenting

```
Recharge slab pages to the parent cgroup

How to do it efficiently?

...

page->mem_cgroup => slab_cache.memcg_params.memcg

All charges and statistics are fully recursive

slab_cache.memcg_params.memcg = parent_memcg

Merged into 5.3
```

Hm...

400k active task_structs?

Slab utilization problem

```
/proc/slab_info shows high 9x%, but it's not true If CONFIG_SLUB_CPU_PARTIAL is on Real numbers were 15% to 65%
```

. . .

So is the memory overhead 0.2%? cgroup.memory=nokmem saves ~50% of slab memory

New slab controller

Shared usage of slab caches and slab pages Per-object tracking of slab objects Reparenting

External memcg ownership data

Byte-sized charging API & reparenting

```
struct obj_cgroup *get_obj_cgroup_from_current(void);

void obj_cgroup_get(struct obj_cgroup *objcg);

void obj_cgroup_put(struct obj_cgroup *objcg);

int obj_cgroup_charge(struct obj_cgroup *objcg, gfp_t gfp, size_t size);

void obj_cgroup_uncharge(struct obj_cgroup *objcg, size_t size);
```

Byte-sized statistics

NR_SLAB_RECLAIMABLE => NR_SLAB_RECLAIMABLE_B
NR_SLAB_UNRECLAIMABLE => NR_SLAB_UNRECLAIMABLE_B

Results

- ~40% memory savings with SLUB
- ~10+% memory savings with SLAB

xxx MB to x GB per host in Facebook's production

Reduced memory fragmentation

No known CPU regressions

Less (complicated) code

21 files changed, 769 insertions(+), 1399 deletions(-) (without tests and tools)

Percpu memory accounting

Reuses the new slab controller design and code Merged into 5.9

Memory cgroup internals are charged to the parent cgroup

TBD: percpu bpf maps (5.10?)

Kernel memory accounting now

- Significantly less expensive
- Less uniform
 - Not everything is handled by the page allocator
 - Per-page and per-object tracking
 - Memcg reference counting scheme is more complicated
 - Reparenting
- Better reflects different properties of different types of kernel memory
- Fewer gc issues

Thanks!

Vlastimil Babka

Jesper Dangaard Brouer

Shakeel Butt

Qian Cai

Nathan Chancellor

Mel Gorman

Tejun Heo

Michal Hocko

Naresh Kamboju

Michal Koutný

Christopher Lameter

Waiman Long

Chris Mason

Andrew Morton

Bharata B Rao

Mike Rapoport

Suleiman Souhlal

Johannes Weiner

Dennis Zhou