Recursive read deadlocks and Where to find them

冯博群 Boqun Feng

boqun.feng@gmail.com



Agenda

- Deadlock cases
- Lockdep
- Flavors of read/write locks
- More deadlock cases
- (Recursive) read deadlock detection

Deadlock cases

• self deadlock

```
P0
spin_lock(&A);
...
spin_lock(&A);
```

ABBA deadlock

PO	P1
<pre>spin_lock(&A);</pre>	spin_lock(&B);
<pre>spin_lock(&B);</pre>	<pre>spin_lock(&A);</pre>

Deadlock cases (cont.)

- IRQ safe->unsafe deadlocks
 - IRQs bring more "code combinations"

P0
<irq enabled>
spin_lock(&A);
...
<in irq handler>
spin_lock(&A);

PO	P1
<irq enabled=""> spin_lock(&A);</irq>	<irq disabled=""> spin_lock(&B);</irq>
<pre> <in handler="" irg=""></in></pre>	•••
<pre>spin_lock(&B);</pre>	<pre>spin_lock(&A);</pre>

Deadlock cases (cont.)

- ABBCCA deadlocks
 - or more

PO	P1	P2
<pre>spin_lock(&A);</pre>	<pre>spin_lock(&B);</pre>	<pre>spin_lock(&C);</pre>
<pre> spin_lock(&B);</pre>	 spin_lock(&C);	 spin_lock(&A);

Lockdep

- Locks are grouped by classes
- Lock dependency
 A -> B

Dependency graph



Lockdep (cont.)

- Deadlock detection
 - A closed path (circle) in the dependency graph



Flavors of read/write locks

- Recursive/unfair rwlocks
 - readers are preferable



Flavors of read/write locks (cont.)

• Non-recursive/fair rwlocks



Flavors of read/write locks (cont.)

flavors	multiple readers	recursive c.s	a reader blocks another reader
recursive	Y	Υ	Ν
non-recursive	Y	Ν	Y* (via a waiting writer)

Flavors of read/write locks (cont.)

- Block condition
 - Recursive readers can get blocked by writers
 - Non-recursive readers can get blocked by non-recursive readers (via a waiting writer) or writers

	reader(recursive or not)	writer
recursive reader	Ν	Y
non-recursive(r & w)	Y	Y

- For non-recursive read/write locks
 - Same as spinlocks, since readers can block each other via a waiting writer

PO	P1	P2
read_lock(&A); spin_lock(&B);	<pre>spin_lock(&B); read_lock(&A);</pre>	write_lock(&A);

- For recursive locks, things get interesting:
 - This is not a deadlock

PO	P1
<pre>read_lock(&A);</pre>	<pre>spin_lock(&B);</pre>
<pre> spin_lock(&B);</pre>	 read_lock(&A);



• But this is a deadlock



- Things get complicated when we mixed recursive and non-recursive read locks
- queued rwlock
 - non-recursive read lock in process context
 - recursive read lock in irq context

• Recursive deadlock case



PO	P1	P2	
<in handler="" irq=""> read_lock(&B);</in>	<pre>spin_lock_irq(&A);</pre>	write lock irg(SB).	
<pre>spin_lock(&A);</pre>	<pre>read_lock(&B);</pre>	witce_iock_iid(«b);	

• Recursive *not* deadlock case



PO	P1	P2	
<in handler="" irq=""> spin_lock(&A);</in>	<pre>read_lock(&B);</pre>	write lock irg(&B):	
<pre>read_lock(&B);</pre>	<pre>spin_lock_irq(&A);</pre>	WIICE_ICCK_IIQ(dD),	

Recursive read deadlock detection

- Limitation of current lockdep
 - circles mean deadlocks
 - while not all the circles mean deadlocks if we consider recursive readers.



Recursive read deadlock detection

• Goals

- Compatible with original lockdep detection.
- Handle qrwlock semantics.
- No false positive.

Recursive read deadlock detection

• Overview

- Classification for lock dependencies
- Definition of "strong" dependencies
- Deadlock Condition
- Informal Proof

Classification of lock dependencies

- We used to treat all lock dependencies as the same
- but they are really not.
- {R reader, reader, writer} -> {R reader, reader, writer} : 9 combinations

Classification of lock dependencies

- Groups things into 4
 - {R reader, reader} -> {reader, writer}: -(SN)->
 - {R reader, reader} -> {R reader}: -(SR)->
 - o {writer} -> {reader, writer}: -(EN)->
 - o {writer} -> {R reader}: -(ER)->
- Why? Because for a dependency A -> B, we cares:
 - Whether A can block anyone
 - Whether B can get blocked by anyone



PO	P1
<pre>read_lock(&A);</pre>	<pre>spin_lock(&B);</pre>
<pre> spin_lock(&B);</pre>	<pre> write_lock(&A);</pre>

Definition of "strong" dependencies

- Chaining lock dependencies via block conditions
- For dependencies A -> B and B -> C
 - A -> B -> C is a "strong" dependency path iff
 - A -> B : -(*R)-> and B -> C : -(E*)->, or
 - A -> B : -(*N)-> and B -> C : -(S*)->, or
 - A -> B : -(*N)-> and B -> C : -(E*)->
 - IOW, -(*R)-> -(S*)-> will break the dependency
- works for "A -> B, B -> C and C -> D" case, and so on

Deadlock condition

• A strong dependency chain/path forms a circle



Informal Proof

- We want to prove:
 - A strong dependency circle is equivalent to deadlock possibility
- Necessary condition
 - a strong dependency circle => deadlock possibility
 - Easy, because a strong dependency circle means we can build a combination of locking sequences that cause deadlock.

Informal Proof (cont.)

- Sufficient condition
 - deadlock possibility => a strong dependency circle
 - My trick
 - deadlock possibility => circular wait (deadlock necessary condition according to wikipedia)
 - circular wait => a strong dependency circle

Implementation

- Extend __bfs() to walk on strong dependency path
- Make LOCK*_STATE* part of the chainkeys
- Add test cases
 - also unleash irq_read_recursion2
- Enable this for srcu
- Code
 - o git.kernel.org/pub/scm/linux/kernel/git/boqun/linux.git arr-rfc-wip