

TOKYO, JAPAN / DECEMBER 11-13, 2025

# CoCo MC: Optimizing guest\_memfd conversions

Contact <u>ackerleytng@google.com</u> if you have any questions/suggestions!





## What we'd like from the community

- Your thoughts on how guest\_memfd should manage mappings in IOMMU page tables during conversions
- Any other ideas for improving conversion performance



#### Introduction to guest\_memfd

- guest-first memory provider built for KVM
- The only supported memory provider for private memory for CoCo VMs.
- Core principle: private guest memory must not be accessible by the host
  - Remove or disallow mappings in host page tables



## guest\_memfd: huge pages and sharing

- guest\_memfd gets huge pages and takes ownership of them
  - HugeTLB [1]
  - Best-effort huge pages (like THP) [2]
- Guest requests to share some of its memory
- guest\_memfd splits folios backing that memory
  - Why: to track users using struct page refcounts

[1] https://lore.kernel.org/all/cover.1747264138.git.ackerleytng@google.com/T/

[2] https://lore.kernel.org/all/20241212063635.712877-1-michael.roth@amd.com/T/



## Problem: folio splitting is expensive

- Time cost: work that needs to be done:
  - Split filemap entries
    - Allocations! (XArray entries)
  - Undo HugeTLB vmemmap optimization (HVO)
    - More allocations! (Pages to store struct pages)
  - Split folios (copy flags, etc)
- Memory cost in allocations



## Don't restructure folios

Just manage mappings and users of memory.





#### Proposal: components

- Manage mappings: guest\_memfd calls into IOMMU code to perform unmapping
- Manage (actually, limit) users: guest\_memfd exposes VM\_PFNMAP VMAs, removes struct pages



## Manage mappings: in guest\_memfd

- guest\_memfd manages mappings in Stage 2 page tables
  - Tell KVM what level to map at
  - Tell KVM to unmap pages during truncation/conversions
- On list: guest\_memfd removes pages from kernel direct map [1]
- On list: guest\_memfd manages mappings in host userspace page tables
  - Unmap pages during conversions [2]
  - Tell core-mm what level to map at
- Discussions: guest\_memfd to unmap from IOMMU page tables
  - Support mapping private memory for Confidential IO [3]
    - [1] https://lore.kernel.org/all/20250924151101.2225820-1-patrick.roy@campus.lmu.de/T/
    - [2] https://lore.kernel.org/all/cover.1760731772.git.ackerleytng@google.com/T/



L3

https://lore.kernel.org/all/CAGtprH\_qh8sEY3s-JucW3n1Wvoq7jdVZDDokvG5HzPf0HV2=pg@mail.gmail.com/

## Manage mappings: IOMMU page tables

- Why the requirement? Ensure devices don't write to guest private memory
  - o guest\_memfd forces IOMMU to unmap shared pages on conversion to private
  - and forces IOMMU to unmap private pages on conversion to shared
- How?
  - IOMMU gets pages directly from guest\_memfd, gives guest\_memfd a handle to IOMMU
  - Maximum flexibility: map memory using fd+offset as a reference: no requirement to mmap()
    guest\_memfd



## Manage mappings: Insufficient

- Mappings are not the only source of "users", GUP indicates usage
- Scenario
  - GUP-ed page 10 in a huge page => refcount on huge page increased
  - o guest wants to convert page 2
  - Should guest\_memfd permit the conversion?



## Manage users: VM\_PFNMAP VMAs + drop struct pages

- Why VM\_PFNMAP?
  - Because VM PFNMAP VMAs don't allow GUP
  - Instead of having guest\_memfd force users to stop using pages (like unmapping), don't let them use guest\_memfd pages to start with.
- Why drop struct pages?
  - Seal the deal: nobody can hold refcounts if the struct page doesn't exist
  - (requires changes within guest\_memfd, KVM and platform code to not rely on struct pages)
- How to drop struct pages? If requested with guest\_memfd flag,
  - Hot-unplug allocated memory to remove page structs
  - Hot-plug them on removal from guest\_memfd ownership



#### Manage users: Can virtualization avoid GUP?

- Virtualization increasingly offloaded, IO done through IOMMU
- If guest\_memfd has a handle on IOMMU page tables, can the remaining users of GUP be moved away from GUP?
- How necessary is GUP for virtualization, going forward?



## Revisit: do we actually need to drop struct pages?

- Can VM\_PFNMAP be correctly used with memory that is described by struct pages?
  - What are the pitfalls of VM\_PFNMAP while struct pages exist?
- What types of folios be VM\_PFNMAP be applicable to? Would ZONE\_DEVICE folios be okay?



## Thank you!

- Please feel free to reach out to discuss anything about guest\_memfd!
- I'm available in the hallways or at <a href="mailto:ackerleytng@google.com">ackerleytng@google.com</a>.





TOKYO, JAPAN / DECEMBER 11-13, 2025