# Initialization in Rust with pin-init

Working towards a chapter in the RfL book about initialization

Benno Lossin < lossin@kernel.org>

Rust for Linux Core Team

### Goals of this Talk

- Give an accessible explanation of why we need a library *just* for initialization in Rust.
- Provide an up-to-date introduction to initialization in Rust with pin-init.
- Obtain information about what helps people understand pin-init.
  - ▶ Using this, write a chapter for the RfL book.
- Give an outlook on the future of pin-init & in-place initialization in Rust.

Questions welcome, but please keep discussions for later.

Why is Initialization so complicated in Rust?

# What happens in C? vs. What is usually done in Rust?

```
typedef struct {
      u8 data[1024 * 1024];
  } buf;
                out-pointer
4
  void buf_init(struct buf *p, u8 val)
6
      memset(buf->data, val, 1024 * 1024);
8 }
```

```
1 struct Buf {
                                       Rust
      data: [u8; 1024 * 1024],
3
                  by-value
  impl Buf {
      fn new(val: u8) -> Buf {
          Buf { data: [val; 1024 * 1024] }
                     overflows the stack!
9 }
```

#### Out-Pointer Conventions in C

Can be broken in some cases!

```
void buf init(struct buf *p, u8 val)
                                                                                                C
2
3
      memset(buf->data, val, 1024 * 1024);
4 }
```

- Using out-pointers requires the function to *always* initialize the out-pointer value.
- Except when they support errors. In the error path, no data should be stored in the outpointer that needs to be cleaned up.
- Except...

Inherently in conflict with safe Rust:

Cannot be broken!

All safely accessible data in Rust must be initialized.

## Out-pointers in Rust

- Out-pointers don't exist in Rust, they would have to:
  - ▶ *Somehow* ensure initialization before returning from the function,
  - *Except* in the error path, where they ensure that they carry no initialized data.

This is difficult!

## A more advanced Example in C

```
typedef struct {
       struct mutex lock;
       struct buf buffer;
3
                                                private data is out-pointer
   } my_driver_data;
5
   int my_driver_probe(struct foo_device *dev)
       dev->private = kmalloc(sizeof(struct my_driver_data), GFP_KERNEL);
8
       if (!dev->private) {
10
           return -ENOMEM;
11
       struct my_driver_data *ptr = (struct my_driver_data *) dev->private;
12
       mutex_init(&ptr->lock);
13
       buf_init(&ptr->buffer, 0);
14
15
       return 0;
16 }
```

# Implicit Convention in C

#### moved A mutex must not be after initializing it.

- In Rust, we say that we *pin* a value when we require it to never move again.
- Thus, a mutex is *pinned* at creation.

How does Initialization look like with pin-init?

# A Basic Example of pin-init

```
struct Buf {
                                                                                        Rust
        data: [u8; 1024 * 1024],
3
        (count: usize,)
4
5
6
   impl Buf {
        fn new(val: u8) -> impl Init<Self> {
8
            init!(Self {
9
                data <- init array from fn(| | val),</pre>
10
                 count: 42,
11
           })
12
13 }
```

### The Details of pin-init

- Init<T> trait is an *initializer*:
  - ▶ It is an object that carries the data needed to initialize a T.
  - ▶ When it isn't run, no data is initialized.
- Several ways to create an initializer:
  - ▶ Any value T can be turned into an Init<T>.
  - ▶ The init! macro creates an initializer for a struct given initializers for each field.
  - Several functions in pin-init return initializers (for example zeroed for zeroable data).
- Need all of this again with slight variations for pin:
  - ▶ PinInit trait, which requires the value to be *pinned* after initialization.
  - ▶ pin init! macro, which allows composing PinInit for structs.
  - *Additionally:* compatibility with Init, since any initializer also is a pin-initializer.

# Converting the Mutex Example

```
#[pin_data] ← Required for using pin init! with this struct
                                                                                      Rust
   struct MyDriverData {
3
              ← Marks the buffer field as structurally pinned
4
       buffer: Mutex<Buf>,
5
6
7
   impl FooDriver for MyDriver {
8
       type Data = MyDriverData;
9
10
        fn probe(dev: ARef<FooDevice>) -> impl PinInit<Self::Data> {
11
            pin init!(MyDriverData {
12
                buffer <- Mutex::new(Buf::new(0)),</pre>
13
           })
14
15 }
```

# Error Handling

```
#[pin data]
                                                                                             Rust
   struct MyDriverData {
3
        shared data: Arc<SharedData>,
4
       #[pin]
       buffer: Mutex<Buf>.
5
                                   Arc creation is fallible, since it allocates
6
8
   impl MyDriverData {
                                             Can use? operator which short-circuits on error
        fn new() -> impl PinInit<Self, (Error> {
9
10
            pin init!(MyDriverData {
11
                shared data: Arc::new(SharedData::new(), GFP KERNEL)?,
12
                buffer <- Mutex::new(Buf::new(0)),</pre>
13
            })
14
15 }
```

# **New Features and Language Integration**

#### New Features

New features added over the last two years:

- Code blocks to run arbitrary code before, between, and after initializing fields,
- Access to previously initialized fields in code blocks and later fields,
- Pin projections to convert Pin<&mut Struct> into Pin<&mut Field> or &mut Field,
- [pin\_]init\_scope function to compute data before creating the initializer and pass it to multiple fields.

### Feature: Code Blocks & Access to Initialized Fields

```
1 struct MyStruct {
                              Rust
      field1: i32,
      field2: u32,
3
5
  fn foo();
  fn bar(x: &mut i32);
8 fn baz(x: &mut i32, y: &mut u32);
 fn bam();
```

```
init!(MyStruct {
                                                   Rust
       _: {
           foo();
4
       },
       field1: 42,
6
       _: {
           foo();
           bar(field1);
9
       },
10
       field2: 24,
11
       : {
           baz(field1, field2);
13
       },
14
       _: {
15
           bam();
16
       },
17 })
```

### init scope

- Sometimes one needs to pass data to multiple fields at the same time,
- fn [pin\_]init\_scope(...) serves this purpose:

```
init_scope(|| {
                                                                                               ® Rust
       let foo = Foo::new();
3
       init!(Bar {
           bar: foo.bar.clone(),
4
5
           foo,
6
      })
  })
```

## Pin Projections

```
Rust
  #[pin data]
  struct Struct {
      field1: usize,
3
      #[pin]
      field2: Mutex<usize>,
5
6 }
```

### Auto-generated by #[pin\_data]:

```
struct PinProjectedStruct<'a> {
                                                                                                   Rust
       field1: &'a mut usize,
3
       field2: Pin<&'a mut Mutex<usize>>,
4
5
   impl Struct {
6
       fn project(self: Pin<&mut Self>) -> PinProjectedStruct<' > {
8
           // Uses unsafe code to perform the correct projections.
9
10 }
```

# Upcoming Features of pin-init

- Many improvements coming to pin-init due to more usage (especially in DRM & by Danilo, many thanks!).
  - ▶ This is great, keep the suggestions coming!
- Big improvement behind the scenes: syn to parse Rust code instead of declarative macros. This allows for:
  - Better error reporting and better syntax support,
  - ▶ Comfortable maintenance (Gary Guo will be co-maintaining the library when it switches to syn),
  - Easier extensions of the existing syntax.
- Closure syntax that improves upon init\_scope,
- Compatibility with normal Rust syntax & rustfmt support.
- More customizability for the init! macro (default error, should previous fields be accessible).

# Language Integration

- Language integration has been started,
- Pin ergonomics make generated pin-projections obsolete,
- Project goal by Alice Ryhl & Taylor Cramer on in-place initialization to implement pin-init as a language feature.
  - Discussion by many different people,
  - Many, many more considerations for better compatibility with existing features (async, try, classical control-flow)

# Thank You for Your Attention!

# **Questions & Discussion**