How many CPUs do I have?

...and other perplexing questions applications running containers must answer

Tycho Andersen <tandersen@netflix.com>, <tycho@tycho.pizza>

Why do language runtimes ask?

- mostly to size thread pools/GC threads
 - $\circ \quad {\sf JVM's \ JIT \ spawns \ N \ threads}$
- Size arenas/allocators
 - E.g. tcmalloc wants to know how many per-thread arenas to allocate

How do we do it today?

• So many interfaces

- isol_cpus= kernel command line
- /sys/devices/system/{cpu,memory}/online
- /proc/stat, /proc/cpuinfo
 - Ixcfs
- o sched_getaffinity()
- What's missing?
 - cgroup info
 - cfs quota
 - SCHED_EXT / scx_*

Hard to answer

- tcmalloc: <u>https://github.com/google/tcmalloc/issues/188</u>
 - segfaults on non-sequential cpu assignments
- JVM's implementation
 - <u>https://bugs.openjdk.org/browse/JDK-8322420</u>
 - Queries cpuset.cpus (not .effective)
 - No .effective for memory, must recurse up the tree
 - 2CPU jobs with 384G heaps
 - <u>https://stackoverflow.com/questions/75327454/how-do-i-read-the-effective-cgroups-limits-for-t</u> <u>he-current-process-using-sys-fs/77234728#77234728</u>

Hard to answer (even more)

- (g)libc (aka nprocs, sysconf(NPROC_ONLIN))
 - Used to use /sys/devices/system/node, switched to sched_getaffinity() <u>https://sourceware.org/bugzilla/show_bug.cgi?id=15630</u>
 - Used by lots of libraries (e.g. jemalloc) to reason about memory arena counts, incorrect number of memory arenas wastes memory
 - Florian Weimer "Should be done by the kernel" <u>https://bugzilla.kernel.org/show_bug.cgi?id=151821</u>
- Musl
 - o sched_getaffinity()

Hard to answer (still more)

- libuv (nodejs)
 - Looks at /proc/stat, /proc/cpuinfo <u>https://github.com/libuv/libuv/issues/2351</u>
- Ixcfs renderings incorrect in /proc/stat, /sys/devices/system/cpu
 - https://github.com/lxc/lxcfs/pull/557
 - <u>https://github.com/lxc/lxcfs/pull/558</u>
 - Causing crashes in libuv, jvm
 - cpu_view feature to reason about cfs shares/quota

Where should this computation live?

- Nowhere
- Container runtime
 - Traditional
- Kernel: mechanism not policy
 - Mechanisms exist! Lots of them!
 - sched_ext would mean the algorithm itself is dynamic
- Userspace: one place so people don't have to reimplement
 - libresource
 - systemd
 - util-linux

Prior art

- Runtime implementations
 - Sometimes incorrect :)
- Lxcfs
 - Can only do file-based masking
 - Could add some seccomp fixing of sched_getaffinity()

• Libresource

- Not container aware
- Pairs well with lxcfs endpoints

Two approaches: IPC vs. library

- IPC (aka <u>varlink</u>) via systemd or container engine
 - Has to be running on the host
 - Not all containers run systemd
 - Multiple implementations can exist
 - No extra dependencies: most people have a json parser handy
 - RFE github.com/systemd/systemd#31810

- Library
 - Need to get people to link against it
 - Potential home in libresource, util-linux
 - Generally new dependency for most applications

Cgroups: "the delegation boundary"

- i.e. Delegate=yes from man 5 systemd.resource-control
- Runtime creates /containers.slice/<id>
- Application creates /containers.slice/<id>/myapp and applies CPU limit
- Application launches a JVM inside .../myapp
- JVM queries varlink API: what happens?
 - Looking past the delegation boundary means unprivileged code controls privileged code
 - Not looking past the delegation boundary means the answer is wrong: /containers.slice/<id> vs /containers.slice/<id>/myapp

dankeschön

Tycho Andersen <tandersen@netflix.com>, <tycho@tycho.pizza>