# Measuring and Understanding Linux Kernel Tests

## Tingxu Ren

Wentao Zhang, Jinghao Jia, Darko Marinov, Tianyin Xu



## Whoami

- An undergraduate student interested in Linux
- Working on a summer project on kernel testing at UIUC
- This is my first time giving a conference talk 😳

#### **Motivation and Goals**

- Modernizing Linux kernel testing (e.g., CI for Linux)
- High-coverage, effective test cases
  - Incremental changes can be well tested
- Test selection and prioritization (and minimization)
  - Only a small number of tests need to be run
  - First running tests that are more likely to find bugs
- Bug localization and reproducibility
  - Localizing bugs when tests fail
  - Reproducing the bug by rerunning the tests

# How far are we? What should be done? How can we contribute?

#### **Commonly Used Test Suites**



#### • KUnit

- Aiming at drivers and common data structures (list, string, sort)
- Kselftest
  - A set of developer unit and regression tests
- LTP (Linux Test Project)
  - A comprehensive suite of user-space tests
- Module-specific tests
  - e.g. xfstests, blktests, kvm-unit-tests, device tests

#### RHEL test suites

- Very large test suite including LTP , KUnit, stess-ng, xfstests, etc.
- Many tests target on preinstalled packages in the distro

#### We focus on test suites used by KernelCI

- KernelCI native tests only contain kselftest and LTP
- We focus on studying the following test suites
  - Booting the kernel (linux v6.9.8)
  - KUnit (linux v6.9.8)
  - LTP (20240524)
  - Kselftest (linux v6.9.8)
- A future work is to add syscall fuzzers like Syzcallar
  - Didn't get the chance to run
  - May not be suitable for CI testing

#### **Setup and Configuration**

- Kernel version 6.9.8
- defconfig for x86\_64, along with:
  - LLVM coverage tool
  - KUnit tests
  - Ethernet driver
- LLVM 19.0.0
  - **Metrics:** Function, line, branch and MC/DC coverage
- Cloudlab c6420 machine
  - Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2683 v3 @ 2.00GHz
  - 251GB memory, 8GB swap.

#### Source-based Code Coverage (SCC)

- Provides precise, source-based coverage reports
  - Instrumentation happens at the frontend
  - Dedicated coverage mapping regions
- Not susceptible to compiler optimization
  - Optimization is enabled by default when building the kernel, which often confuses existing coverage tools
- More informative when evaluating test suites
- Kernel support is publicly <u>requesting for comments</u>

#### Source-based Code Coverage (SCC)

#### • Provides precise, source-based coverage reports

- Instrumentation happens at the frontend
- Dedicated coverage mapping regions

#### • Not susceptible to compiler optimization

- Optimization is enabled by default when building the kernel, which often confuses existing coverage tools
- More informative when evaluating test suites
- Kernel support is publicly <u>requesting for comments</u>

"Source-based code coverage of Linux kernel" by Wentao Zhang Safe Systems with Linux MC, today 16:00, Hall N2 (Austria Center)

Module	Function	Line	Branch	MC/DC
arch/x86/	48.69% (2841/5835)	38.09% (26249/68913)	28.81% (11708/40634)	8.87% (276/3113)
block/	55.37% (810/1463)	42.63% (8357/19603)	31.26% (3324/10634)	13.24% (121/914)
certs/	57.14% (4/7)	50.00% (49/98)	36.67% (11/30)	0.00% (0/2)
crypto/	28.95% (264/912)	24.05% (3009/12512)	19.12% (825/4314)	3.41% (14/411)
drivers/	19.76% (6624/33524)	15.70% (93231/593941)	10.86% (31926/293880)	3.32% (919/27720)
fs/	56.65% (4798/8469)	46.93% (71736/152866)	38.20% (26443/69222)	19.90% (1260/6331)
include/	43.29% (5866/13550)	35.29% (24777/70214)	38.88% (5801/14920)	17.95% (300/1671)
init/	53.28% (65/122)	45.80% (775/1692)	31.60% (201/636)	9.30% (8/86)
io_uring/	34.55% (255/738)	23.24% (2738/11782)	14.09% (870/6174)	0.46% (3/657)
ipc/	79.94% (247/309)	73.24% (3898/5322)	61.10% (1233/2018)	37.58% (56/149)
kernel/	69.64% (5692/8173)	58.66% (64531/110009)	44.61% (24851/55712)	26.29% (1440/5477)
lib/	61.17% (1624/2655)	51.94% (23346/44949)	34.81% (11123/31954)	23.34% (345/1478)
mm/	76.42% (2483/3249)	65.82% (35656/54171)	52.13% (14463/27742)	30.11% (812/2697)
net/	36.89% (4876/13217)	25.87% (71023/274552)	17.58% (27168/154540)	6.13% (966/15747)
security/	54.58% (751/1376)	35.07% (8237/23485)	28.84% (3449/11958)	11.92% (98/822)
sound/	14.05% (235/1673)	11.09% (2972/26793)	7.56% (979/12956)	1.21% (15/1240)
Totals	39.29% (37435/95272)	29.95% (440584/1470902)	22.29% (164375/737324)	9.68% (6633/68515)

Module	Function	Line	Branch	MC/DC
arch/x86/	48.69% (2841/5835)	38.09% (26249/68913)	28.81% (11708/40634)	8.87% (276/3113)
block/	55.37% (810/1463)	42.63% (8357/19603)	31.26% (3324/10634)	13.24% (121/914)
certs/	57.14% (4/7)	50.00% (49/98)	36.67% (11/30)	0.00% (0/2)
crypto/	28.95% (264/912)	24.05% (3009/12512)	19.12% (825/4314)	3.41% (14/411)
drivers/	19.76% (6624/33524)	15.70% (93231/593941)	10.86% (31926/293880)	3.32% (919/27720)
fs/	56.65% (4798/8469)	46.93% (71736/152866)	38.20% (26443/69222)	19.90% (1260/6331)
include/	43.29% (5866/13550)	35.29% (24777/70214)	38.88% (5801/14920)	17.95% (300/1671)
init/	53.28% (65/122)	45.80% (775/1692)	31.60% (201/636)	9.30% (8/86)
io_uring/	34.55% (255/738)	23.24% (2738/11782)	14.09% (870/6174)	0.46% (3/657)
ipc/	79.94% (247/309)	73.24% (3898/5322)	61.10% (1233/2018)	37.58% (56/149)
kernel/	69.64% (5692/8173)	58.66% (64531/110009)	44.61% (24851/55712)	26.29% (1440/5477)
lib/	61.17% (1624/2655)	51.94% (23346/44949)	34.81% (11123/31954)	23.34% (345/1478)
mm/	76.42% (2483/3249)	65.82% (35656/54171)	52.13% (14463/27742)	30.11% (812/2697)
net/	36.89% (4876/13217)	25.87% (71023/274552)	17.58% (27168/154540)	6.13% (966/15747)
security/	54.58% (751/1376)	35.07% (8237/23485)	28.84% (3449/11958)	11.92% (98/822)
sound/	14.05% (235/1673)	11.09% (2972/26793)	7.56% (979/12956)	1.21% (15/1240)
Totals	<b>39.29%</b> (37435/95272)	<b>29.95%</b> (440584/1470902)	<b>22.29%</b> (164375/737324)	<mark>9.68%</mark> (6633/68515)

Module	Function	Line	Branch	MC/DC
arch/x86/	48.69% (2841/5835)	38.09% (26249/68913)	28.81% (11708/40634)	8.87% (276/3113)
block/	55.37% (810/1463)	42.63% (8357/19603)	31.26% (3324/10634)	13.24% (121/914)
certs/	57.14% (4/7)	50.00% (49/98)	36.67% (11/30)	0.00% (0/2)
crypto/	28.95% (264/912)	24.05% (3009/12512)	19.12% (825/4314)	3.41% (14/411)
drivers/	19.76% (6624/33524)	15.70% (93231/593941)	10.86% (31926/293880)	3.32% (919/27720)
fs/	56.65% (4798/8469)	46.93% (71736/152866)	38.20% (26443/69222)	19.90% (1260/6331)
include/	43.29% (5866/13550)	35.29% (24777/70214)	38.88% (5801/14920)	17.95% (300/1671)
init/	53.28% (65/122)	45.80% (775/1692)	Mactly < 60%	9.30% (8/86)
io_uring/	34.55% (255/738)	23.24% (2738/11782)	$1005Uy \ge 0070$	0.46% (3/657)
ipc/	79.94% (247/309)	73.24% (3898/5322)	61.10% (1233/2018)	37.58% (56/149)
kernel/	69.64% (5692/8173)	58.66% (64531/110009)	44.61% (24851/55712)	26.29% (1440/5477)
lib/	61.17% (1624/2655)	51.94% (23346/44949)	34.81% (11123/31954)	23.34% (345/1478)
mm/	76.42% (2483/3249)	65.82% (35656/54171)	52.13% (14463/27742)	30.11% (812/2697)
net/	36.89% (4876/13217)	25.87% (71023/274552)	17.58% (27168/154540)	6.13% (966/15747)
security/	54.58% (751/1376)	35.07% (8237/23485)	28.84% (3449/11958)	11.92% (98/822)
sound/	14.05% (235/1673)	11.09% (2972/26793)	7.56% (979/12956)	1.21% (15/1240)
Totals	39.29% (37435/95272)	29.95% (440584/1470902)	22.29% (164375/737324)	9.68% (6633/68515)

#### **Increase of Coverage (KUnit alone)**

Module	Function		Line	Branch	MC/DC	
arch/x86/	↑ 1.20% (↑ 70/	5835)	↑ 1.17% (↑ 807/ 68913)	↑ 0.91% (↑ 369/ 40634)	↑ 0.13% (↑    4/	3113)
block/	↑ 0.61% (↑ 9/	1463)	↑ 0.51% (↑ 100/ 19603)	↑ 0.58% (↑ 61/ 10634)	↑ 0.55% (↑ 5/	914)
certs/	% (/	7)	% (/ 98)	% (/ 30)	% (/	2)
crypto/	% (/	912)	% (/ 12512)	% (/ 4314)	% (/	411)
drivers/	↑ 2.72% (↑ 912/	33524)	↑ 2.31% (↑13694/ 593941)	↑ 1.77% (↑ 5207/ 293880)	↑ 0.56% (↑ 154/	27720)
fs/	↑ 1.03% (↑ 88/	8467)	↑ 0.94% (↑ 1477/ 152759)	↑ 0.76% (↑ 542/ 69178)	↑ 0.44% (↑ 28/	6325)
include/	↑ 1.91% (↑ 259/	13550)	↑ 1.88% (↑ 1320/ 70214)	↑ 2.64% (↑ 394/ 14920)	↑ 1.91% (↑ 32/	1671)
init/	% (/	122)	% (/ 1692)	% (/ 636)	% (/	86)
io_uring/	% (/	738)	% (/ 11782)	% (/ 6174)	% (/	657)
ipc/	% (/	309)	% (/ 5322)	% (/ 2018)	% (/	149)
kernel/	↑ 3.79% (↑ 310/	8173)	↑ 3.31% (↑ 3641/ 110009)	↑ 2.55% (↑ 1423/ 55712)	↑ 0.88% (↑ 48/	5477)
lib/	↑19.62% (↑ 521/	2655)	<pre>↑17.04% (↑ 7661/ 44949)</pre>	<pre>↑15.24% (↑ 4871/ 31954)</pre>	↑ 5.34% (↑ 79/	1478)
mm/	↑ 1.65% (↑ 54/	3248)	↑ 1.16% (↑ 636/ 54160)	↑ 0.95% (↑ 265/ 27738)	↑ 0.82% (↑ 22/	2697)
net/	↑ 1.19% (↑ 158/	13217)	↑ 1.28% (↑ 3539/ 274552)	↑ 0.98% (↑ 1508/ 154540)	↑ 0.40% (↑ 63/	15747)
security/	↑ 0.44% (↑ 6/	1376)	↑ 0.19% (↑ 43/ 23485)	↑ 0.23% (↑ 28/ 11958)	↑ 0.12% (↑    1/	822)
sound/	↑ 2.63% (↑ 44/	1673)	↑ 1.75% (↑ 467/ 26793)	↑ 1.54% (↑ 200/ 12956)	↑ 0.65% (↑ 8/	1240)
Totals	↑ <b>2.55% (</b> ↑ <b>2431/</b>	95269)	↑ <b>2.26% (</b> ↑33385/1470784)	↑ <b>2.01% (</b> ↑ <b>14868/ 737276)</b>	1 0.65% (1 444/	68509)

#### **Increase of Coverage (KUnit alone)**

Module	Function		Line	Branch	MC/DC
arch/x86/	↑ 1.20% (↑ 70/	5835)	↑ 1.17% (↑ 807/ 68913)	↑ 0.91% (↑ 369/ 40634)	↑ 0.13% (↑    4/   3113)
block/	↑ 0.61% (↑ 9/	1463)	↑ 0.51% (↑ 100/ 19603)	↑ 0.58% (↑ 61/ 10634)	↑ 0.55% (↑    5/   914)
certs/	% (/	7)	% (/ 98)	% (/ 30)	% (/ 2)
crypto/	% (/	912)	% (/ 12512)	% (/ 4314)	% (/ 411)
drivers/	↑ <b>2.72% (</b> ↑ <b>912</b> /	33524)	↑ <b>2.31% (</b> ↑ <b>13694/ 593941)</b>	↑ <b>1.77% (</b> ↑ <b>5207/ 293880)</b>	↑ 0.56% (↑ 154/ 27720)
fs/	↑ <b>1.0</b> 3% (↑ 88/	8467)	↑ 0.94% (↑ 1477/ 152759)	↑ 0.76% (↑ 542/ 69178)	↑ 0.44% (↑ 28/ 6325)
include/	↑ 1.91% (↑ 259/	13550)	↑ 1.88% (↑ 1320/ 70214)	↑ 2.64% (↑ 394/ 14920)	↑ 1.91% (↑   32/   1671)
init/	% (/	122)	% (/ 1692)	% (/ 636)	% (/ 86)
io_uring/	% (/	738)	% (/ 11782)	% (/ 6174)	% (/ 657)
ipc/	% (/	309)	% (/ 5322)	% (/ 2018)	% (/ 149)
kernel/	↑ 3.79% (↑ 310/	8173)	↑ 3.31% (↑ 3641/ 110009)	↑ 2.55% (↑ 1423/ 55712)	↑ 0.88% (↑ 48/ 5477)
lib/	↑ <b>19.62% (</b> ↑ 521/	2655)	↑ <b>17.04% (</b> ↑ 7661/ 44949)	↑ <b>15.24% (↑ 4871/ 31954)</b>	↑ <b>5.34% (↑ 79/ 1478)</b>
mm/	↑ <b>1.65% (</b> ↑ 54/	3248)	↑ 1.16% (↑ 636/ 54160)	↑ 0.95% (↑ 265/ 27738)	↑ 0.82% (↑ 22/ 2697)
net/	↑ 1.19% (↑ 158/	13217)	↑ 1.28% (↑ 3539/ 274552)	↑ 0.98% (↑ 1508/ 154540)	↑ 0.40% (↑ 63/ 15747)
security/	↑ 0.44% (↑ 6/	1376)	↑ 0.19% (↑ 43/ 23485)	↑ 0.23% (↑ 28/ 11958)	↑ 0.12% (↑    1/   822)
sound/	↑ <b>2.63% (</b> ↑ <b>44/</b>	1673)	↑ <b>1.75% (</b> ↑ 467/ 26793)	↑ <b>1.54% (</b> ↑ <b>200/ 12956)</b>	↑ <b>0.65% (</b> ↑ <b>8/ 1240)</b>
Totals	↑ <b>2.55% (</b> ↑ <b>2431/</b>	95269)	↑ 2.26% (↑33385/1470784)	↑ 2.01% (↑14868/ 737276)	↑ <b>0.65% (</b> ↑ <b>444/ 68509)</b>

#### • The coverage of kernel tests is far from adequate

- Especially compared with modern (user-space) software projects
- MC/DC is particularly low (<10%) long way to go as safe systems

- The coverage of kernel tests is far from adequate
  - Especially compared with modern (user-space) software projects
  - MC/DC is particularly low (<10%) long way to go as safe systems
- User-space tests (LTP/Kselftest) are ineffective in testing drivers
  - LTP(2,440 tests) performs even weaker than KUnit (596 tests)

- The coverage of kernel tests is far from adequate
  - Especially compared with modern (user-space) software projects
  - MC/DC is particularly low (<10%) long way to go as safe systems
- User-space tests (LTP/Kselftest) are ineffective in testing drivers
  - LTP(2,440 tests) performs even weaker than KUnit (596 tests)
- The higher covered modules are mostly less than 60% (line cov.)
  - Branch coverage is much lower

- The coverage of kernel tests is far from adequate
  - Especially compared with modern (user-space) software projects
  - MC/DC is particularly low (<10%) long way to go as safe systems
- User-space tests (LTP/Kselftest) are ineffective in testing drivers
  - LTP(2,440 tests) performs even weaker than KUnit (596 tests)
- The higher covered modules are mostly less than 60% (line cov.)
  - Branch coverage is much lower
- Certain subsystems (e.g., init and certs) is rarely covered
  - Makes boot-time bugs hard to detect early and causes trouble in debugging

Module	Function	Line	Branch	MC/DC
arch/x86/	48.69% (2841/5835)	38.09% (26249/68913)	28.81% (11708/40634)	8.87% (276/3113)
block/	55.37% (810/1463)	42.63% (8357/19603)	31.26% (3324/10634)	13.24% (121/914)
certs/	57.14% (4/7)	50.00% (49/98)	36.67% (11/30)	0.00% (0/2)
crypto/	28.95% (264/912)	24.05% (3009/12512)	19.12% (825/4314)	3.41% (14/411)
drivers/	19.76% (6624/33524)	15.70% (93231/593941)	10.86% (31926/293880)	3.32% (919/27720)
fs/	56.65% (4798/8469)	46.93% (71736/152866)	38.20% (26443/69222)	19.90% (1260/6331)
include/	43.29% (5866/13550)	35.29% (24777/70214)	38.88% (5801/14920)	17.95% (300/1671)
init/	53.28% (65/122)	45.80% (775/1692)	31.60% (201/636)	9.30% (8/86)
io_uring/	34.55% (255/738)	23.24% (2738/11782)	14.09% (870/6174)	0.46% (3/657)
ipc/	79.94% (247/309)	73.24% (3898/5322)	61.10% (1233/2018)	37.58% (56/149)
kernel/	69.64% (5692/8173)	58.66% (64531/110009)	44.61% (24851/55712)	26.29% (1440/5477)
lib/	61.17% (1624/2655)	51.94% (23346/44949)	34.81% (11123/31954)	23.34% (345/1478)
mm/	76.42% (2483/3249)	65.82% (35656/54171)	52.13% (14463/27742)	30.11% (812/2697)
net/	36.89% (4876/13217)	25.87% (71023/274552)	17.58% (27168/154540)	6.13% (966/15747)
security/	54.58% (751/1376)	35.07% (8237/23485)	28.84% (3449/11958)	11.92% (98/822)
sound/	14.05% (235/1673)	11.09% (2972/26793)	7.56% (979/12956)	1.21% (15/1240)
Totals	39.29% (37435/95272)	29.95% (440584/1470902)	22.29% (164375/737324)	9.68% (6633/68515)

## ipc/

- Small, simple subsystem (12 files, 5322 lines in total)
- Extensively exercised by existing tests (especially LTP)
  - Line coverage: 73.2%
  - Branch coverage: 61.0%

## ipc/

- Small, simple subsystem (12 files, 5322 lines in total)
- Extensively exercised by existing tests (especially LTP)
  - Line coverage: 73.2%
  - Branch coverage: 61.0%
- What code are not covered by existing tests?
  - Missing usages
  - Error path
  - Execution context (e.g., privileged or not)
  - 32-bit compatibility

#### **Missing Usages**

• POSIX message queue messages can have different priorities

- Code about RB-tree search is not covered
  - Implying that only one priority is used in each test case

202	90	if (likely(leaf->priority == msg->m_type))	
203	90	<pre>goto insert_msg;</pre>	
204	0	else if (msg->m_type < leaf->priority) {	
		Branch (204:12): [True: 0, False: 0]	
205	0	<pre>p = &amp;(*p)-&gt;rb_left;</pre>	
206	0	rightmost = false;	
207	0	} else	
208	0	p = &(*p)->rb_right;	/ipc/mqueue.c

#### **Error Path**

#### • Error handling code spread all over the kernel code

- Validity check followed by cleanup code
- Assignment of errno and return
- Covering all errno of a syscall != covering all error paths

556	13	<pre>if (!ipc_valid_object(&amp;msq-&gt;q_perm)) {</pre>	
		Branch (556:6): [True: 0, False: 13]	
557	0	<pre>ipc_unlock_object(&amp;msq-&gt;q_perm);</pre>	
558	0	err = -EIDRM;	
559	0	<pre>goto out_unlock;</pre>	
560	0	}	/ipc/msg.c

#### **Enhancing Existing Tests**

- Which tests to enhance (among hundreds)?
  - Tests that already exercise the target functions/statements

#### • Tooling for finding tests based on coverage data

- 1. Compile the kernel with only target modules instrumented
- 2. Run test suite and record per-test profiles
- 3. Select tests based on the coverage data (e.g., function and statements)

#### **Enhancing Existing Tests**

- Which tests to enhance (among hundreds)?
  - Tests that already exercise the target functions/statements

#### • Tooling for finding tests based on coverage data

- 1. Compile the kernel with only target modules instrumented
- 2. Run test suite and record per-test profiles
- 3. Select tests based on the coverage data (e.g., function and statements)
- Let's see a demo.

Module	Function	Line	Branch	MC/DC
arch/x86/	48.69% (2841/5835)	38.09% (26249/68913)	28.81% (11708/40634)	8.87% (276/3113)
block/	55.37% (810/1463)	42.63% (8357/19603)	31.26% (3324/10634)	13.24% (121/914)
certs/	57.14% (4/7)	50.00% (49/98)	36.67% (11/30)	0.00% (0/2)
crypto/	28.95% (264/912)	24.05% (3009/12512)	19.12% (825/4314)	3.41% (14/411)
drivers/	19.76% (6624/33524)	15.70% (93231/593941)	10.86% (31926/293880)	3.32% (919/27720)
fs/	56.65% (4798/8469)	46.93% (71736/152866)	38.20% (26443/69222)	19.90% (1260/6331)
include/	43.29% (5866/13550)	35.29% (24777/70214)	38.88% (5801/14920)	17.95% (300/1671)
init/	53.28% (65/122)	45.80% (775/1692)	31.60% (201/636)	9.30% (8/86)
io_uring/	34.55% (255/738)	23.24% (2738/11782)	14.09% (870/6174)	0.46% (3/657)
ipc/	79.94% (247/309)	73.24% (3898/5322)	61.10% (1233/2018)	37.58% (56/149)
kernel/	69.64% (5692/8173)	58.66% (64531/110009)	44.61% (24851/55712)	26.29% (1440/5477)
lib/	61.17% (1624/2655)	51.94% (23346/44949)	34.81% (11123/31954)	23.34% (345/1478)
mm/	76.42% (2483/3249)	65.82% (35656/54171)	52.13% (14463/27742)	30.11% (812/2697)
net/	36.89% (4876/13217)	25.87% (71023/274552)	17.58% (27168/154540)	6.13% (966/15747)
security/	54.58% (751/1376)	35.07% (8237/23485)	28.84% (3449/11958)	11.92% (98/822)
sound/	14.05% (235/1673)	11.09% (2972/26793)	7.56% (979/12956)	1.21% (15/1240)
Totals	39.29% (37435/95272)	29.95% (440584/1470902)	22.29% (164375/737324)	9.68% (6633/68515)

## mm/

- 10+ times bigger module than /ipc
  - 54,171 statements and 3,249 functions
- Many features cannot be directly invoked by system calls
   Transparent huge pages (THP)
- Behaviors may depend on memory layouts
- Complex multi-threading concurrency

## mm/

- 10+ times bigger module than /ipc
  - 54,171 statements and 3,249 functions
- Many features cannot be directly invoked by system calls
   Transparent huge pages (THP)
- Behaviors may depend on memory layouts
- Complex multi-threading concurrency
- Few existing tests targeting THP
  - 0 in KUnit
  - 1 in Kselftest as a stress test to exhaust physical memory
  - 4 regression tests to prevent old bugs

- 1. Read lock
- 2. Scan
  - check availability
- 3. Read unlock
- 4. Allocate a huge page
- 5. Write lock
- 6. Isolate
  - recheck availability
- 7. Copy and other work
- 8. Write unlock

- 1. Read lock
- 2. Scan
  - check availability
- 3. Read unlock
- 4. Allocate a huge page
- Write lock
- Isolate 6.
  - recheck availability
- Copy and other work 7.

238

238

238

238

8. Write unlock

```
static int hpage_collapse_scan_pmd(...)
         . . .
        for ( address = address, pte = pte; pte < pte + HPAGE PMD NR;
            pte++, address += PAGE SIZE) {
           . . .
          if (pte none(pteval) || is zero pfn(pte pfn(pteval))) {
1.57M
            ++none or zero;
133k
            if(... none_or_zero <= khugepaged_max_ptes_none)) {</pre>
133k
              continue;
133k
            } else {
               result = SCAN EXCEED NONE PTE;
               count vm event(THP SCAN EXCEED NONE PTE);
               goto out;
                                                                   31
```

- 1. Read lock
- 2. Scan
  - check availability
- 3. Read unlock
- 4. Allocate a huge page
- Write lock
- Isolate 6
  - recheck availability

133k

133k

133k 238

238

238

238

- Copy and other work 7.
- 8. Write unlock

```
static int hpage collapse scan pmd(...)
         . . .
        for ( address = address, pte = pte; pte < pte + HPAGE PMD NR;
            pte++, address += PAGE SIZE) {
1.57M
          if (pte none(pteval) || is zero pfn(pte pfn(pteval))) {
            ++none or zero;
            if(... none or zero <= khugepaged max ptes none)) {
              continue;
            } else {
              result = SCAN_EXCEED_NONE_PTE;
              count vm event(THP SCAN EXCEED NONE PTE);
              goto out;
                                                                 32
```

1. Read lock

#### 2. Scan

- check availability
- 3. Read unlock
- 4. Allocate a huge page
- 5. Write lock
- 6. Isolate
  - recheck availability
- 7. Copy and other work
- 8. Write unlock

	<pre>static int hpage_collapse_scan_pmd()</pre>
238	result = SCAN_EXCEED_NONE_PTE;
238	count_vm_event(THP_SCAN_EXCEED_NONE_PTE);
238	goto out;
238	}

- 1. Read lock
- 2. Scan
  - check availability
- 3. Read unlock
- 4. Allocate a huge page
- 5. Write lock
- 6. Isolate
  - recheck availability
- 7. Copy and other work
- 8. Write unlock

	<pre>static int hpage_collapse_scan_pmd()</pre>
238	result = SCAN_EXCEED_NONE_PTE;
238	count_vm_event(THP_SCAN_EXCEED_NONE_PTE);
238	goto out;
238	}
	<pre>static intcollapse_huge_page_isolate()</pre>
	{
	•••
1.19M	for (_address = address, _pte = pte; _pte < pte + HPAGE_PMD_NR;
<b>1.1</b> 9M	_pte++, _address += PAGE_SIZE) {
	•••
1.19M	if (pte_none(pteval)    is_zero_pfn(pte_pfn(pteval))) {
1.18M	++none_or_zero;
2.60k	if( none_or_zero <= khugepaged_max_ptes_none)) {
2.60k	continue;
2.60k	} else {
0	<pre>result = SCAN_EXCEED_NONE_PTE;</pre>
0	count_vm_event(THP_SCAN_EXCEED_NONE_PTE);
0	goto out;
0	}

- 1. Read lock
- 2. Scan
  - check availability
- 3. Read unlock
- 4. Allocate a huge page
- 5. Write lock
- 6. Isolate
  - recheck availability
- 7. Copy and other work
- 8. Write unlock

	<pre>static int hpage_collapse_scan_pmd()</pre>	
238	result = SCAN_EXCEED_NONE_PTE;	
238	count_vm_event(THP_SCAN_EXCEED_NONE_PTE);	
238	goto out;	
238	}	
	<pre>static intcollapse_huge_page_isolate()</pre>	
	{	
	• • •	
<b>1.19</b> M	for (_address = address, _pte = pte; _pte < pte + HPAGE	_PMD_NR;
1.19M	_pte++, _address += PAGE_SIZE) {	
	0 0 0	
<b>1.1</b> 9M	<pre>if (pte_none(pteval)    is_zero_pfn(pte_pfn(pteval)))</pre>	{
<b>1.18</b> M	++none_or_zero;	
2.60k	<pre>if( none_or_zero &lt;= khugepaged_max_ptes_none)) {</pre>	
2.60k	continue;	
2.60k	} else <del>{</del>	
0	result = SCAN_EXCEED_NONE_PTE;	
0	<pre>count_vm_event(THP_SCAN_EXCEED_NONE_PTE);</pre>	
0	goto out;	
0	}	35

#### Use Kprobe to change memory states

- 1. Read lock
- 2. Scan
  - check availability
- 3. Read unlock
- 4. Allocate a huge page
- 5. Write lock
- 6. Isolate
  - recheck availability
- 7. Copy and other work
- 8. Write unlock

• We can change the memory status during step 4

1087	<pre>static int collapse_huge_page()</pre>
1090	{
	•••
1110	<pre>mmap_read_unlock(mm);</pre>
1112	<pre>result = alloc_charge_hpage(&amp;hpage, mm, cc);</pre>
1113	if (result != SCAN_SUCCEED)
1114	goto out_nolock;
1115	•••
1116	<pre>mmap_read_lock(mm);</pre>

#### Use Kprobe to change memory states

- 1. Read lock
- 2. Scan
  - check availability
- 3. Read unlock
- 4. Allocate a huge page
- 5. Write lock
- 6. Isolate
  - recheck availability
- 7. Copy and other work
- 8. Write unlock

• We can change the memory status during step 4

1087	<pre>static int collapse_huge_page()</pre>
1090	{
	•••
1110	<pre>mmap_read_unlock(mm);</pre>
1112	<pre>result = alloc_charge_hpage(&amp;hpage, mm, cc);</pre>
1113	if (result != SCAN_SUCCEED)
1114	goto out_nolock;
1115	•••
1116	<pre>mmap_read_lock(mm);</pre>

• Use kprobe to insert code before line 1112

## **Summary and Implications**

- Existing kernel tests need to be enhanced
- Many opportunities to enhancing existing tests
  - Coverage measure can guide the enhancement
- New mechanisms are needed beyond system calls
  - e.g., THP and other event-triggering code

#### • Existing tooling is quite rudimentary

- No test selection or analysis
- Debugging is nontrivial and often challenging

#### **Test Coverage and Bugs**

	Module	Description	Туре
1	mm/vmalloc	Fix return value of vb_alloc if size is 0	Uncovered (function)
2	mm/hugetlb	Fix missing hugetlb_lock for resv uncharge	Uncovered (function)
3	mm/madvise	Make MADV_POPULATE_(READ WRITE) handle VM_FAULT_RETRY properly	Uncovered (function)
4	x86/mm/pat	Fix VM_PAT handling in COW mappings	Uncovered (function)
5	mm/vmalloc	Fix vmalloc which may return null if called withGFP_NOFAIL	Uncovered (branch)
6	mm/hugetlb	Fix DEBUG_LOCKS_WARN_ON(1) when dissolve_free_hugetlb_folio()	Uncovered (branch)
7	mm/hugetlb	Check for anon_vma prior to folio allocation	Uncovered (line)
8	maple_tree	Fix mas_empty_area_rev() null pointer dereference	Uncovered (execution)
9	mm	Use memalloc_nofs_save() in page_cache_ra_order()	Concurrency
10	mm	Turn folio_test_hugetlb into a PageType	Concurrency
11	fork	Defer linking file vma until vma is fully initialized	Concurrency

#### **Gaps and Opportunities**

	Linux kernel tests	Advanced software tests
Unit tests	596 in KUnit with low coverage	Hundreds to thousands with high code coverage
Test selection	None	Widely used and many regression test selection algorithms
Test prioritization	None	Many algorithms but not too widely used.
Continuous integration	KernelCI, continuously running a few test suites	Frequent, incremental testing on every diff with test selection
Bug localization and repair	Manual, often difficult	Many algorithms and advances, leveraging ML/LLMs

#### Discussion

- How can we (from academia) help and contribute?
- What are the important, urgent (research) problems?

"Making Linux Fly: Towards a Certified Linux Kernel" Refereed Track

> "Measuring and Understanding Linux Kernel Tests" Kernel Testing & Dependability MC

"Source-based code coverage of Linux kernel"

Safe Systems with Linux MC, today 16:00, Hall N2 (Austria Center)