Paravirt Scheduling

3rd iteration

Vineeth Pillai (Google) <[vineeth@bitbyteword.org>](mailto:vineeth@bitbyteword.org) Joel Fernandes (Google) <joel@fernandes.org>

IUX PLUMBERS CONFERENCE Vienna, Austria Sept. 18-20, 2024

Agenda

- What and Why?
- Some History
- Design and Implementation
- Some Numbers
- What next

Motivation

• Double scheduling: Host schedules vcpu threads and the guest schedules the tasks running inside the guest

- Both schedulers are unaware of the other
	- Hosts schedules vcpu threads without knowing what's being run on the vcpu
	- Guest schedules tasks without knowing where the vcpu is running physically

Motivation (Contd…)

- Mostly, vCPUs are regular CFS tasks in the host and does not get to run in a timely fashion when the host is experiencing load
	- Host scheduler tries to be fair and doesn't know about the priority requirements
- This can cause issues with latencies, power consumption, resource utilization etc.

Paravirt Scheduling: Concepts

- Efficient task scheduling decisions based on scheduling information shared between the guest and the host.
- Information shared via shared memory between guest and host.
- General framework in kernel to share the memory and guest/host negotiation
- Scheduling policies could be implemented as a kernel module or bpf program both in the guest and host.

Paravirt Scheduling: History

- V1: <https://lkml.org/lkml/2023/12/13/1789>
	- Kvm does most of the heavy lifting: handshake, policies, scheduling decision
	- Upstream was against having all these logic in kvm
	- V2: <https://lwn.net/Articles/968242/>
		- Kvm does the handshake with the guest
		- Policy and scheduling decision designed to be implemented separately as a kernel module or a BPF program
		- module/BPF registers to kvm for receiving callbacks on interested events
		- Kvm maintainers is not favorable with the idea of having the handshake also in kernel
- $V₃$
	- Handshake and negotiation logic in the VMM
	- Policies and scheduling decisions in a eBPF program (loaded by the VMM)
		- Could be a kernel module as well

Paravirt Scheduling: V1

Paravirt Scheduling: v2

Paravirt Scheduling: v3

Paravirt Scheduling: pvsched device

LINUX PLUMBERS CONFERENCE Vienna, Austria Sept. 18-20, 2024

Paravirt Scheduling: pvsched device

- Responsible for handshake with the guest
- Exposes a pci device to the guest
	- A BAR writable by guest. Guest writes the base address of the shared memory region
- Could be extended in future for including feature and policy negotiations

Paravirt Scheduling: pvsched device

- Prototype implemented in crosvm(VMM written in rust for chromeos)
	- aya-rs: <https://aya-rs.dev/>
- Pvsched device runs as a separate sandboxed process
- Receives the shared memory GPA from guest and converts to Host Virtual Address in the process address space
- Loads the kernel module or ebpf program that implements the policy and passes details(vcpu id, pid, shared memory address etc)
	- Kernel module: ioctl to pass the information
	- eBPF program: BPF_MAP_TYPE_HASH (indexed by vcpu pid)

Paravirt Scheduling: Guest pvsched driver

- Kernel mode driver binds to the pvsched device
- Allocates a page for the shared memory
	- Contains an array of structures one per-vcpu
- Writes the shared memory GPA to the BAR exposed by the device
- The prototype has the shared memory updation logic inbuilt in the pvsched driver
- This could be separated out and implemented as another kernel module or a BPF program

Cater to specialized use cases.

Paravirt Scheduling: Guest pvsched driver

- Registers to scheduler and kernel critical section trace points
	- sched switch, sched wake*
	- {nmi/irq/softirq)_{entry/exit}, preempt_{disable/enable}
	- exit to user
- Updates the shared memory on trace point callbacks.
	- On kernel critical sections, set a flag in the shared memory
	- Update the shared memory with priority(policy, nice, rt_prio) of the process that is woken up /switched to.

Paravirt Scheduling: scheduling policy

Paravirt Scheduling: scheduling policy

- Could be implemented as a kernel module or eBPF program
- Retrieves the VM and vcpu details from the VMM(nr vcpus, vcpu id, vcpu_pid etc)
- Registers for VM event callbacks(VMENTER, VMEXIT, VCPU_HALT, INJ_INTR, …)
- Enforces the scheduling policies on receiving the callbacks

Paravirt Scheduling: scheduling policy

- Policy can be custom implemented based on the use case and requirements.
- Our prototype uses a simple policy to minimize latency
	- Boost the vcpu priority on latency sensitive workloads in the guest
	- 1:1 translation of linux scheduling parameters from guest task to vcpu task in the host.
	- Implements vcpu throttling for misbehaving vcpus

Paravirt Scheduling: Latency mitigation Policy

- VMEXIT
	- Get the requested scheduling parameters from VM
	- Check if the vcpu needs to be throttled based on how long it has been boosted
	- Check if the vcpu needs to be unthrottled based on how long it has been throttled
	- Cap the scheduling priority based on the limits set by admin
	- If VM is not throttled, apply the scheduling priority (sched setattr)
- VMENTER
	- Do the time accounting for boosting, throttling

Paravirt Scheduling: Latency mitigation Policy

- VCPU_HALT
	- Boost the vcpu thread: going to be scheduled out and it will be woken up only on latency sensitive events like interrupts. Guest will request unboost as soon as it is done with priority work loads.
- Interrupt Injection
	- Boost the vcpu thread.

Implementation: Shared Memory

```
struct pvsched_header {
        __u32 vcpu_id;
        __u32 vcpu_pid;
};
union vcpu\_sched {
        struct \{struct pvsched_header header;
                struct pvsched_guest_area guest_area;
                struct pvsched_host_area host_area;
        };
        _l_u32 pad[4];
};
```
Implementation: Shared Memory

Vienna, Austria LINUX PLUMBERS CONFERENCE Sept. 18-20, 2024

Implementation: Shared Memory

```
typedef enum {
       VCPU_STATUS_VMENTERED = 1,
       VCPU_STATUS_VMEXITED = 2,
       VCPU_STATUS_HALTED = 3,
       /*
        * Interrupt could be injected from any of the
        * above states and hence is represented by the struct pvsched_host_area {
        * last 4 bits.
                                                            /*
                                                             * State of the vcpu.
       VCPU_STATUS_INTR_INJECTED = 0xF0
                                                             *} pvsched_vcpu_status_t;
                                                            __u8 vcpu_status;
                                                            /*
                                                             * Sched params set by host before VMENTER
                                                             *__u8 sched_policy;
                                                            _lu8 nice;
                                                            _lu8 rt_prio;
```
Implementation: pvsched policy(bpf program)

BPF_MAP_TYPE HASH stores the vcpu specific information

Implementation: pvsched policy(bpf program)

struct pvsched_info { //struct bpf_timer timer; //struct bpf_spin_lock lock; vcpu_action_t action; u64 start_ns; u64 duration_ns;

};

Implementation: pvsched policy(eBPF program)

```
SEC("tp_btf/paravirt_vmentry")
int vmentry_cb(u64 *ctx) {
        return process_pvsched_cbs(ctx, PVSCHED_TP_VCPU_VMENTRY);
SEC("tp_btf/paravirt_vmexit")
int vmexit_cb(u64 *ctx) {
        return process_pvsched_cbs(ctx, PVSCHED_TP_VCPU_VMEXIT);
SEC("tp_btf/paravirt_vcpu_halt")
int vcpu_halt_cb(u64 *ctx) {
        return process_pvsched_cbs(ctx, PVSCHED_TP_VCPU_HALT);
SEC("tp_btf/paravirt_vcpu_inject_intr")
int vcpu_inj_intr_cb(u64 *ctx) {
        return process_pvsched_cbs(ctx, PVSCHED_TP_VCPU_INJ_INTR);
```
- Ideally, VMM should be able to share the shared memory Virtual address with the eBPF program
	- Due to ebpf safety constraints, this is not possible easily.
- Alternatives
	- bpf probe {read/write} user

- Ideally, VMM should be able to share the shared memory Virtual address with the eBPF program
	- Due to ebpf safety constraints, this is not possible easily.
- Alternatives
	- bpf probe {read/write} user
		- Trace point callback can happen in non-process context

- Ideally, VMM should be able to share the shared memory Virtual address with the eBPF program
	- Due to ebpf safety constraints, this is not possible easily.
- Alternatives
	- bpf_probe_{read/write}_user
		- Trace point callback can happen in non-process context
	- bpf probe read kernel

- Ideally, VMM should be able to share the shared memory Virtual address with the eBPF program
	- Due to ebpf safety constraints, this is not possible easily.
- Alternatives
	- bpf_probe_{read/write}_user
		- Trace point callback can happen in non-process context
	- bpf probe read kernel
		- Need a bpf mechanism to map process Virtual Address space to kernel
		- No kernel address write support.

- Ideally, VMM should be able to share the shared memory Virtual address with the eBPF program
	- Due to ebpf safety constraints, this is not possible easily.
- Alternatives
	- bpf_probe_{read/write}_user
		- Trace point callback can happen in non-process context
	- bpf probe read kernel
		- Need a bpf mechanism to map process Virtual Address space to kernel
		- No kernel address write support
	- kptr
		- Write support?

- Ideally, VMM should be able to share the shared memory Virtual address with the eBPF program
	- Due to ebpf safety constraints, this is not possible easily.
- Alternatives
	- bpf_probe_{read/write}_user
		- Trace point callback can happen in non-process context
	- bpf probe read kernel
		- Need a bpf mechanism to map process Virtual Address space to kernel
		- No kernel address write support
	- kptr
		- Write support?
	- BPF_MAP_TYPE_TASK_STORAGE

- Ideally, VMM should be able to share the shared memory Virtual address with the eBPF program
	- Due to ebpf safety constraints, this is not possible easily.
	- Alternatives
		- bpf_probe_{read/write}_user
			- Trace point callback can happen in non-process context
		- bpf probe read kernel
			- Need a bpf mechanism to map process Virtual Address space to kernel
			- No kernel address write support
		- kptr
			- Write support?
		- BPF_MAP_TYPE_TASK_STORAGE
			- Share user memory through task local storage: [https://lore.kernel.org/bpf/20240816191213.35573-4-thinker.li@gmail.co](https://lore.kernel.org/bpf/20240816191213.35573-4-thinker.li@gmail.com/T/) $m/T/$

- Our Prototype uses a HACK!
	- Modify struct task struct to include the kernel address and page
	- Use sched setscheduler to set these fields

Sept. 18-20, 2024

Implementation: eBPF Shared mem kfunc

Implementation: eBPF and scheduler

- We need to call into scheduler to modify the scheduling parameters of vcpu tasks.
- Implemented kfunc

Implementation: pvsched tunables

- Implemented as BPF maps and VMM can adjust these tunables
	- Scheduling priority for vcpu task when guest is in a kernel critical section or host is injecting interrupt.
	- Maximum boost time allowed for a vcpu task before it is throttled
	- Throttle duration
	- Debug levels

Implementation: eBPF limitations

- Timers and spinlocks not possible for trace points.
- Shared memory access is a bit tricky without firsthand bpf support!
- Needs scheduler kfunc/helpers
- ?

Some performance numbers

- Quick synthetic test with cyclictest on host and guest
	- observe latency impact on quest
	- observe if any regression on host
- Tested on an idle host and busy host
	- Busy host simulated by stress-ng

cyclictest --mlockall -q -p 8 --policy=rr

stress-ng --cpu 2 --iomix 1 --vm 1 --vm-bytes 128M --fork 1

- Host System
	- Intel(R) Pentium(R) Silver N6000 @ 1.10GHz
	- 8GB RAM (LPDDR4-2933)
	- Fedora 40 (6.10.10)

Some performance numbers (Idle Host)

Guest: Average latency in micro seconds Host: Average latency in micro seconds

Guest: Max latency in micro seconds Host: Max latency in micro seconds

Legend:

Vanilla: Host kernel: 6.10 guest kernel: 6.10 **v1**: Host and guest kernels with the v1 patches **v3-kmod**: v3 with policy implemented in kernel module **V3-bpf:** v3 with Policy implemented in bpf

Vienna, Austria LINUX PLUMBERS CONFERENCE Sept. 18-20, 2024

Some performance numbers (Busy Host)

Guest: Average latency in micro seconds Host: Average latency in micro seconds

Guest: Max latency in micro seconds Host: Max latency in micro seconds

Legend:

Vanilla: Host kernel: 6.10 guest kernel: 6.10 **v1**: Host and guest kernels with the v1 patches **v3-kmod**: v3 with policy implemented in kernel module **V3-bpf:** v3 with Policy implemented in bpf

Vienna, Austria LINUX PLUMBERS CONFERENCE Sept. 18-20, 2024

Paravirt Scheduling v3: Future

- Upstream collaboration to get the eBPF support like kfunc/helpers for scheduler integration
- Upstream collaboration to get shared memory access support
	- A new map to share data directly between guest and host?
- Spinlock and timer support
	- Use bpf struct ops instead of trace points?
- **Customizability**
	- Generalize the shared memory area (union vcpu sched) to support more use cases
	- Protocols, versioning etc.
- Guest side BPF?
	- sched ext in guest?

Paravirt Scheduling v3: Future

- Use sched events rather than kvm events
	- For the latency mitigation, we are only concerned about a vcpu being preempted and vcpus getting to vcpu as soon as woken up.
	- So, why not hook to sched switch and sched wakeup instead of VMEXIT/VMENTER?
- Still, need to hook to interrupt injection path
	- vcpu may be scheduled out between interrupt injection and VMENTER, if not boosted
	- modify kvm vcpu kick() to hint the scheduler about the reason for the kick interrupt, ipi, …

Paravirt Scheduling v3: Conclusion

- Generic framework for aiding custom policies to be implemented as a kernel module or bpf program
- Shows similar results as v1
- KVM/Hypervisor depency almost removed completely
	- Mostly addition of trace points.
- Minimal changes in kernel
	- Mostly trace points

Vienna, Austria Sept. 18-20, 2024