# Program verification for the Linux kernel: Potential costs and benefits

Julia Lawall, Keisuke Nishimura, Jean-Pierre Lozi Inria

September 18, 2024

• Seems reliable...

• Seems reliable... But actually it is full of bugs.

- Seems reliable... But actually it is full of bugs.
- Some fit well-known patterns:
  - missing free, use after free, dereference of NULL, missing unlock, misplaced memory barrier, etc.
  - Existing tools handle these issues more or less well (Smatch, Coccinelle, Coverity, etc.)

- Seems reliable... But actually it is full of bugs.
- Some fit well-known patterns:
  - missing free, use after free, dereference of NULL, missing unlock, misplaced memory barrier, etc.
  - Existing tools handle these issues more or less well (Smatch, Coccinelle, Coverity, etc.)
- Some depend on an algorithm, and are completely context specific:

- Seems reliable... But actually it is full of bugs.
- Some fit well-known patterns:
  - missing free, use after free, dereference of NULL, missing unlock, misplaced memory barrier, etc.
  - Existing tools handle these issues more or less well (Smatch, Coccinelle, Coverity, etc.)
- Some depend on an algorithm, and are completely context specific:
  - Maybe verification can help identify these bugs?

#### Basic idea:

- Write specifications describing expected code behavior.
- Use tools to verify that the code respects the specifications.

#### Basic idea:

- Write specifications describing expected code behavior.
- Use tools to verify that the code respects the specifications.

Specifications are a form of documentation, with tool support.

## Positive:

- Thinking about what to prove can highlight inconsistencies, bugs, and missed optimization opportunities.
- Specifications provide an unambiguous, consistent description of what the code does.

## Positive:

- Thinking about what to prove can highlight inconsistencies, bugs, and missed optimization opportunities.
- Specifications provide an unambiguous, consistent description of what the code does.

## Negative:

- Creating specifications is hard.
- Can we hope to maintain them? (cognitive overload)
- No magic bullet: The specifications could even be wrong!

### A thought experiment

#### A thought experiment

• Not, hmmph, I don't want to write a bunch a formulas.

#### A thought experiment

- Not, hmmph, I don't want to write a bunch a formulas.
- But rather, what would happen if we did?

```
static void swap(int *p, int *q) {
    int tmp = *p;
    *p = *q;
    *q = tmp;
}
```

```
static void swap(int *p, int *q) {
    int tmp = *p;
    *p = *q;
    *q = tmp;
}
```

Properties to verify:

- p and q are readable and writeable.
- The final p value is the original q value.
- The final q value is the original p value.

## Approach:

- Annotate source code with pre conditions and post conditions.
  - Pre conditions describe the states in which the function can be called.
  - Post conditions describe the state after calling the function in those states.

## Approach:

- Annotate source code with pre conditions and post conditions.
  - Pre conditions describe the states in which the function can be called.
  - Post conditions describe the state after calling the function in those states.
- Frama-C analyzes the code, line by line, and determines the conditions needed to establish the post conditions based on the preconditions.

## Approach:

- Annotate source code with pre conditions and post conditions.
  - Pre conditions describe the states in which the function can be called.
  - Post conditions describe the state after calling the function in those states.
- Frama-C analyzes the code, line by line, and determines the conditions needed to establish the post conditions based on the preconditions.
- A *SMT* solver automatically proves that the conditions are satisfied.

Preconditions:

• p and q are readable and writeable.

requires \valid(p); requires \valid(q);

## Preconditions:

• p and q are readable and writeable.

requires \valid(p); requires \valid(q);

Postconditions:

• The final p value is the original q value.

ensures \*p == \old(\*q);

## Preconditions:

• p and q are readable and writeable.

requires \valid(p); requires \valid(q);

Postconditions:

The final p value is the original q value.

```
ensures *p == \old(*q);
```

• The final q value is the original p value.

```
ensures *q == \old(*p);
```

## Putting it all together

```
1*0
requires \valid(p);
requires \valid(q);
assigns *p, *q;
ensures *p == \old(*q);
ensures *q == \old(*p);
*/
static void swap(int *p, int *q) {
  int tmp = *p;
  *p = *q;
  *q = tmp;
}
```

```
> frama-c -wp -wp-rte -wp-prover=z3 swap.c
[kernel] Parsing swap.c (with preprocessing)
[rte:annot] annotating function swap
[wp] 8 goals scheduled
[wp] Proved goals: 10 / 10
 Terminating:
                  1
 Unreachable:
                  1
                  5
 Qed:
 Z3 4.12.2: 3 (20ms - 40ms)
```

#### What we do:

- Use the original C source code for the function of interest.
- Write dummy definitions in C for external functions, as needed.
- Use Frama-C to manage the proving process.

#### What we do:

- Use the original C source code for the function of interest.
- Write dummy definitions in C for external functions, as needed.
- Use Frama-C to manage the proving process.

## Focus on the algorithm:

- No consideration of concurrency.
- No consideration of hidden memory issues (aliasing, null pointers, use after free, etc.).

#### What we do:

- Use the original C source code for the function of interest.
- Write dummy definitions in C for external functions, as needed.
- Use Frama-C to manage the proving process.

## Focus on the algorithm:

- No consideration of concurrency.
- No consideration of hidden memory issues (aliasing, null pointers, use after free, etc.).
- These are hard issues, but developers can make mistakes without them.

Goal:

Should a core should try to steal tasks during load balancing?

Starting point:

- Patch first proposed in August 2013.
- Extracted from scattered existing code.
- First patch was buggy.
- First released in Linux v3.12.

Subsequent history:

- 10 variants over time (+1 proposed as a result of this work).
- Several recent optimizations.

#### Input:

- The CPU trying to steal.
- Some information about the set of CPUs participating in load balancing.

#### Input:

- The CPU trying to steal.
- Some information about the set of CPUs participating in load balancing.

Action:

- 1. Elect an idle CPU that is allowed to steal.
- 2. If none, elect a default CPU.
- 3. Return true if and only if the elected CPU is the one trying to steal.

#### Input:

- The CPU trying to steal.
- Some information about the set of CPUs participating in load balancing.

Action:

- 1. Elect an idle CPU that is allowed to steal.
- 2. If none, elect a default CPU.
- 3. Return true if and only if the elected CPU is the one trying to steal.

Goal: Only one non newly idle CPU steals at a time.

## The original definition

```
static int should_we_balance(struct lb_env *env) {
        struct sched group *sg = env->sd->groups:
        struct cpumask *sg_cpus, *sg_mask;
        int cpu, balance cpu = -1:
        if (env->idle == CPU_NEWLY_IDLE)
                return 1:
        sg_cpus = sched_group_cpus(sg);
        sg_mask = sched_group_mask(sg);
        for each cpu and (cpu, sg cpus, env->cpus) {
                if (!cpumask_test_cpu(cpu, sg_mask) || !idle_cpu(cpu))
                        continue:
                balance_cpu = cpu;
                break:
        if (balance cpu == -1)
                balance_cpu = group_balance_cpu(sg);
        return balance_cpu != env->dst_cpu; // != should be ==
```

```
static int should_we_balance(struct lb_env *env) {
        struct sched group *sg = env->sd->groups;
        struct cpumask *sg_cpus, *sg_mask;
        int cpu, balance cpu = -1:
        if (env->idle == CPU_NEWLY_IDLE)
                return 1:
        sg_cpus = sched_group_cpus(sg);
        sg mask = sched group mask(sg);
        for each cpu and (cpu, sg cpus, env->cpus) {
                if (!cpumask_test_cpu(cpu, sg_mask) || !idle_cpu(cpu))
                        continue:
                balance cpu = cpu:
                break:
        3
        if (balance cpu == -1)
                balance cpu = group balance cpu(sg);
        return balance_cpu != env->dst_cpu; // != should be ==
```

```
static int should_we_balance(struct lb_env *env) {
        struct sched group *sg = env->sd->groups:
        struct cpumask *sg_cpus, *sg_mask;
        int cpu, balance cpu = -1:
        if (env->idle == CPU_NEWLY_IDLE)
                return 1:
        sg_cpus = sched_group_cpus(sg);
        sg_mask = sched_group_mask(sg);
        for each cpu and (cpu, sg cpus, env->cpus) {
                if (!cpumask_test_cpu(cpu, sg_mask) || !idle_cpu(cpu))
                        continue:
                balance cpu = cpu:
                break:
        3
        if (balance cpu == -1)
                balance cpu = group balance cpu(sg);
        return balance_cpu != env->dst_cpu; // != should be ==
```

```
static int should_we_balance(struct lb_env *env) {
        struct sched group *sg = env->sd->groups;
        struct cpumask *sg_cpus, *sg_mask;
        int cpu, balance_cpu = -1;
        if (env->idle == CPU_NEWLY_IDLE)
                 return 1:
        sg cpus = sched group cpus(sg);
        sg mask = sched group mask(sg);
        for each cpu and(cpu, sg cpus, env->cpus) {
                 if (!cpumask_test_cpu(cpu, sg mask) || !idle_cpu(cpu))
                         continue:
                 balance cpu = cpu:
                break:
        if (balance cpu == -1)
                balance cpu = group balance cpu(sg):
        return balance_cpu != env->dst_cpu; // != should be ==
```

```
static int should_we_balance(struct lb_env *env) {
        struct sched group *sg = env->sd->groups;
        struct cpumask *sg_cpus, *sg_mask;
        int cpu, balance cpu = -1:
        if (env->idle == CPU_NEWLY_IDLE)
                return 1:
        sg_cpus = sched_group_cpus(sg);
        sg_mask = sched_group_mask(sg);
        for each cpu and (cpu, sg cpus, env->cpus) {
                if (!cpumask_test_cpu(cpu, sg_mask) || !idle_cpu(cpu))
                        continue:
                balance cpu = cpu:
                break:
        3
        if (balance cpu == -1)
                balance cpu = group balance cpu(sg);
        return balance_cpu != env->dst_cpu; // != should be ==
```

## Initial version (verification expert?): pre and post conditions

```
1*0
... // data validity, no side effects
behavior newly idle:
  assumes env->idle == CPU NEWLY IDLE;
  ensures \result:
behavior not newly idle1:
  assumes env->idle != CPU NEWLY IDLE;
  assumes \exists integer i: relevant(i, env) & idle cpu(i):
  ensures \forall integer i:
    relevant(i. env) ==> idle cpu(i) ==>
    (for all integer j: 0 \le j \le i => relevant(j, env) => !idle cpu(j)) =>
    (|result \langle == \rangle env - \rangle dst cpu != i);
behavior not newly idle2:
  assumes env->idle != CPU NEWLY IDLE:
 assumes forall integer i: relevant(i, env) ==> !idle cpu(i):
  ensures \result <==> group balance cpu(env->sd->groups) != env->dst cpu:
complete behaviors:
disjoint behaviors;
*/
```

# Initial version (verification expert?): loop invariants

```
static int should we balance(struct lb env *env)
        sg_cpus = sched_group_cpus(sg);
        sg_mask = sched_group_mask(sg);
        1*0
           loop invariant 0 <= cpu <= small cpumask bits;
           loop invariant \forall integer j: 0 \le j \le cpu \Longrightarrow relevant(j, env) \Longrightarrow !idle cpu(j):
          loop assigns cpu:
           loop variant small cpumask bits - cpu:
        */
        for_each_cpu_and(cpu, sg_cpus, env->cpus) {
                 if (!cpumask_test_cpu(cpu, sg_mask) || !idle_cpu(cpu))
                          continue:
                 balance cpu = cpu;
                 break:
         3
```

# Initial version (verification expert?): loop invariants

```
static int should we balance(struct lb env *env)
        sg_cpus = sched_group_cpus(sg);
        sg_mask = sched_group_mask(sg);
        1*0
           loop invariant 0 <= cpu <= small cpumask bits;
           loop invariant \forall integer j: 0 \le j \le cpu \Longrightarrow relevant(j, env) \Longrightarrow !idle cpu(j):
           loop assigns cpu:
           loop variant small cpumask bits - cpu:
        */
        for_each_cpu_and(cpu, sg_cpus, env->cpus) {
                 if (!cpumask_test_cpu(cpu, sg_mask) || !idle_cpu(cpu))
                          continue:
                 balance_cpu = cpu;
                 break:
         3
```

On our test machine, Frama-C proves this in under 1 minute.

# Problem: The code evolves over time

#	Commit id	Date	Release	Impact
0	23f0d2093c78	Aug. 2013	-	create the function
1	b0cff9d88ce2	Sep. 2013	v3.12	replace $!=$ by $==$
2	af218122b103	May 2017	—	eliminate a redundant function call
3	e5c14b1fb892	May 2017	v4.13	rename a function
4	024c9d2faebd	Oct. 2017	v4.14	check validity of the stealing CPU
5	97fb7a0a8944	Mar. 2018	v4.17	improve comments
6	64297f2b03cc	Apr. 2020	v5.8	return early on finding an idle core
7	792b9f65a568	Jun. 2022	v6.0	abort if tasks are detected on a newly idle CPU
8	b1bfeab9b002	Jul. 2023	-	prefer fully idle cores
9	f8858d96061f	Sep. 2023	v6.6	remove non-idle hyperthreads from the CPU mask
10	6d7e4782bcf5	Oct. 2023	v6.8	change a condition of the selection algorithm

Red versions contain bugs.

Question: As the code changes, can developers update the specifications accordingly? • For optimizations, the overall input-output behavior should not change.

- For optimizations, the overall input-output behavior should not change.
- Maybe we could define pre and post conditions for one version and reuse them on new versions?

# Change types and proof impact: No impact

Changes (mostly capitalization) in comments clearly have no impact on the proof.

## Change types and proof impact: No impact

Changes (mostly capitalization) in comments clearly have no impact on the proof.

Code changes may also have no impact on the proof.

```
static int should_we_balance(struct lb_env *env)
        struct sched_group *sg = env->sd->groups;
        int cpu, balance_cpu = -1;
       int cpu;
+
        for_each_cpu_and(cpu, group_balance_mask(sg), env->cpus) {
                if (!idle cpu(cpu))
                        continue:
                balance_cpu = cpu;
                break:
                return cpu == env->dst_cpu;
÷
        if (balance cpu == -1)
                balance cpu = group balance cpu(sg):
        return balance_cpu == env->dst_cpu;
-
        return group balance cpu(sg) == env->dst cpu:
 }
```

### Change types and proof impact: new conditions

```
static int should_we_balance(struct lb_env *env)
       struct sched_group *sg = env->sd->groups;
       int cpu, balance_cpu = -1;
       if (!cpumask_test_cpu(env->dst_cpu, env->cpus))
               return 0;
       if (env->idle == CPU_NEWLY_IDLE)
               return 1;
       for_each_cpu_and(cpu, group_balance_mask(sg), env->cpus) {
               if (!idle_cpu(cpu))
                       continue:
               balance_cpu = cpu;
               break:
       3
       if (balance cpu == -1)
               balance cpu = group balance cpu(sg);
       return balance_cpu == env->dst_cpu;
```

### Change types and proof impact: new conditions

```
+behavior race condition:
+ assumes !env->cpus->bits[env->dst cpu];
+ ensures !\result:
behavior newly_idle:
  assumes env->idle == CPU NEWLY IDLE:
+ assumes env->cpus->bits[env->dst_cpu];
  ensures \result;
 behavior not_newly_idle1:
  assumes env->idle != CPU_NEWLY_IDLE;
+ assumes env->cpus->bits[env->dst_cpu];
   assumes \exists integer i; relevant(i, env) && idle_cpu(i);
   ensures \forall integer i:
    relevant(i, env) ==> idle cpu(i) ==>
     (\forall integer j: 0 <= j < i ==> relevant(j, env) ==> !idle_cpu(j)) ==>
     (\result <==> env->dst_cpu == i):
 behavior not newly idle2:
  assumes env->idle != CPU_NEWLY_IDLE;
+ assumes env->cpus->bits[env->dst cpu];
   assumes \forall integer i; relevant(i, env) ==> !idle_cpu(i);
   ensures \result <==> group_balance_cpu(env->sd->groups) == env->dst_cpu;
```

```
for_each_cpu_and(cpu, group_balance_mask(sg), env->cpus) {
    if (!idle_cpu(cpu))
        continue;
    if (!(env->sd->flags & SD_SHARE_CPUCAPACITY) && !is_core_idle(cpu)) {
        if (idle_smt == -1)
            idle_smt = cpu;
            continue;
        }
        return cpu == env->dst_cpu;
    }
```

- Sensitive to hyperthreads.
- Avoid a core whose hyperthread is occupied, but keep it as a fallback.

#### Specification change:

```
/*@
    loop invariant 0 <= cpu <= small_cpumask_bits;
    loop invariant \forall integer j; 0 <= j < cpu ==> relevant(j, env) ==> !idle_cpu(j);
    loop invariant env->sd->flags & SD_SHARE_CPUCAPACITY ==> idle_smt == -1;
    loop invariant idle_smt == -1 ==> \forall integer j; 0 <= j < cpu ==> relevant(j, env) ==> !idle_cpu(j);
    loop invariant idle_smt != -1 ==> 0 <= idle_smt < cpu && relevant(idle_smt, env) && idle_cpu(idle_smt);
    loop invariant idle_smt != -1 ==> \forall integer j; 0 <= j < idle_smt ==> relevant(j, env) ==> !idle_cpu(j);
    loop invariant idle_smt != -1 ==> \forall integer j; 0 <= j < idle_smt ==> relevant(j, env) ==> !idle_cpu(j);
    loop invariant idle_smt != -1 ==> \forall integer j; idle_smt <= j < cpu ==> relevant(j, env) ==> !idle_core(j);
    loop assigns cpu, idle_smt;
    loop variant small_cpumask_bits - cpu;
```

```
*/
```

```
cpumask_copy(swb_cpus, group_balance_mask(sg));
+
        for_each_cpu_and(cpu, group_balance_mask(sg), env->cpus) {
        for_each_cpu_and(cpu, swb_cpus, env->cpus) {
+
                if (!idle_cpu(cpu))
                        continue:
                if (!(env->sd->flags & SD_SHARE_CPUCAPACITY) && !is_core_idle(cpu)) {
                        if (idle smt == -1)
                                idle_smt = cpu;
+#ifdef CONFIG SCHED SMT
                        cpumask_andnot(swb_cpus, swb_cpus, cpu_smt_mask(cpu));
÷
+#endif
                        continue:
                3
                return cpu == env->dst_cpu;
        3
```

```
cpumask_copy(swb_cpus, group_balance_mask(sg));
+
        for each cpu and(cpu, group balance mask(sg), env->cpus) {
        for_each_cpu_and(cpu, swb_cpus, env->cpus) {
+
                if (!idle_cpu(cpu))
                        continue:
                if (!(env->sd->flags & SD_SHARE_CPUCAPACITY) && !is_core_idle(cpu)) {
                        if (idle smt == -1)
                                 idle_smt = cpu;
+#ifdef CONFIG SCHED SMT
                        cpumask_andnot(swb_cpus, swb_cpus, cpu_smt_mask(cpu));
÷
+#endif
                        continue:
                3
                return cpu == env->dst_cpu;
        3
```

- cpumask\_andnot writes into its first argument.
  - Such side effects impact the loop invariants.

```
cpumask_copy(swb_cpus, group_balance_mask(sg));
+
        for each cpu and(cpu, group balance mask(sg), env->cpus) {
        for_each_cpu_and(cpu, swb_cpus, env->cpus) {
4
                if (!idle_cpu(cpu))
                        continue:
                if (!(env->sd->flags & SD_SHARE_CPUCAPACITY) && !is_core_idle(cpu)) {
                        if (idle smt == -1)
                                 idle smt = cpu:
+#ifdef CONFIG SCHED SMT
                        cpumask_andnot(swb_cpus, swb_cpus, cpu_smt_mask(cpu));
÷
+#endif
                        continue:
                3
                return cpu == env->dst_cpu;
        3
```

- cpumask\_andnot writes into its first argument.
  - Such side effects impact the loop invariants.
- The first two arguments to cpumask\_andnot are aliases.

```
cpumask_copy(swb_cpus, group_balance_mask(sg));
+
        for each cpu and(cpu, group balance mask(sg), env->cpus) {
        for_each_cpu_and(cpu, swb_cpus, env->cpus) {
4
                if (!idle_cpu(cpu))
                        continue:
                if (!(env->sd->flags & SD_SHARE_CPUCAPACITY) && !is_core_idle(cpu)) {
                        if (idle smt == -1)
                                 idle smt = cpu:
+#ifdef CONFIG SCHED SMT
                        cpumask_andnot(swb_cpus, swb_cpus, cpu_smt_mask(cpu));
÷
+#endif
                        continue:
                3
                return cpu == env->dst_cpu;
        3
```

- cpumask\_andnot writes into its first argument.
  - Such side effects impact the loop invariants.
- The first two arguments to cpumask\_andnot are aliases.

## Months of work...

# **Bug found**

#### An older behavior:

```
behavior not_newly_idle1:
    assumes env->idle != CPU_NEWLY_IDLE;
    assumes env->cpus->bits[env->dst_cpu];
    assumes \exists integer i; relevant(i, env) && idle_cpu(i);
    ensures \forall integer i; relevant(i, env) ==> idle_cpu(i) ==>
    (\forall integer j; 0 <= j < i ==> relevant(j, env) ==> !idle_cpu(j)) ==>
    (\result <==> env->dst_cpu == i);
```

#### A newer behavior: (bug introduced)

```
behavior not_newly_idle1b:
    assumes env->idle != CPU_NEWLY_IDLE;
    assumes env->cpus->bits[env->dst_cpu];
    assumes !(env->sd->flags & SD_SHARE_CPUCAPACITY);
    assumes \forall integer i; relevant(i, env) ==> !idle_core(i);
    assumes \forall integer i; relevant(i, env) ==> idle_cpu(i) ==>
    (\forall integer j; 0 <= j < i ==> relevant(j, env) ==> !idle_cpu(j)) ==>
    (\forall integer j; 0 <= j < i ==> relevant(j, env) ==> !idle_cpu(j)) ==>
    (\result ==> (env->dst_cpu == i || env->dst_cpu == group_balance_cpu(env->sd->groups)));
    ensures \forall integer j; 0 <= j < i ==> relevant(j, env) ==> idle_cpu(i) ==>
    (\forall integer j; 0 <= j < i ==> relevant(j, env) ==> !idle_cpu(j)) ==>
    (\forall integer j; 0 <= j < i ==> relevant(j, env) ==> !idle_cpu(j)) ==>
    (env->dst_cpu == i ==> \result);
```

## The buggy code

```
static int should we balance(struct lb env *env)
        struct cpumask *swb_cpus = this_cpu_cpumask_var_ptr(should_we_balance_tmpmask);
        struct sched_group *sg = env->sd->groups;
        int cpu, idle_smt = -1;
        Γ...1
        if (env->idle == CPU_NEWLY_IDLE) {
                [...]
                return 1:
        l
        cpumask_copy(swb_cpus, group_balance_mask(sg));
        for_each_cpu_and(cpu, swb_cpus, env->cpus) {
                if (!idle_cpu(cpu))
                        continue:
                if (!(env->sd->flags & SD SHARE CPUCAPACITY) && !is core idle(cpu)) {
                        if (idle_smt == -1)
                                idle_smt = cpu;
                        cpumask_andnot(swb_cpus, swb_cpus, cpu_smt_mask(cpu));
                        continue:
                3
                return cpu == env->dst cpu;
        3
        if (idle smt == env->dst cpu)
                return true:
        return group_balance_cpu(sg) == env->dst_cpu;
```

# Assessment

#	Commit id	Date	Release	Impact
0	23f0d2093c78	Aug. 2013	-	create the function
1	b0cff9d88ce2	Sep. 2013	v3.12	replace $!=$ by $==$
2	af218122b103	May 2017	—	eliminate a redundant function call
3	e5c14b1fb892	May 2017	v4.13	rename a function
4	024c9d2faebd	Oct. 2017	v4.14	check validity of the stealing CPU
5	97fb7a0a8944	Mar. 2018	v4.17	improve comments
6	64297f2b03cc	Apr. 2020	v5.8	return early on finding an idle core
7	792b9f65a568	Jun. 2022	v6.0	abort if tasks are detected on a newly idle CPU
8	b1bfeab9b002	Jul. 2023	-	prefer fully idle cores
9	f8858d96061f	Sep. 2023	v6.6	remove non-idle hyperthreads from the CPU mask
10	6d7e4782bcf5	Oct. 2023	v6.8	change a condition of the selection algorithm

• Changes 1-7 easy to verify.

# Assessment

#	Commit id	Date	Release	Impact
0	23f0d2093c78	Aug. 2013	-	create the function
1	b0cff9d88ce2	Sep. 2013	v3.12	replace $!=$ by $==$
2	af218122b103	May 2017	—	eliminate a redundant function call
3	e5c14b1fb892	May 2017	v4.13	rename a function
4	024c9d2faebd	Oct. 2017	v4.14	check validity of the stealing CPU
5	97fb7a0a8944	Mar. 2018	v4.17	improve comments
6	64297f2b03cc	Apr. 2020	v5.8	return early on finding an idle core
7	792b9f65a568	Jun. 2022	v6.0	abort if tasks are detected on a newly idle CPU
8	b1bfeab9b002	Jul. 2023	-	prefer fully idle cores
9	f8858d96061f	Sep. 2023	v6.6	remove non-idle hyperthreads from the CPU mask
10	6d7e4782bcf5	Oct. 2023	v6.8	change a condition of the selection algorithm

- Changes 1-7 easy to verify.
- Changes 8 and 9 introduced challenges, but revealed a bug and a missed optimization opportunity

# Key observation so far:

• Complexities in the code are magnified in the specifications, exploding the proof time and effort.

## Some tools that might help:

- Tools to isolate relevant code:
  - Collect dependencies.
- Tools to facilitate writing specifications:
  - Collect aliases.
  - Construct invariants for specific loop types.
- Tools to help react to code changes:
  - Distinguish easy and challenging code changes.
  - Identify and interpret source code bugs.

# https://gitlab.inria.fr/lawall/swb\_artifact