Linux Plumbers Conference Dublin, Ireland September 12-14, 2022

Copy On Write, Get User Pages ... and Mysterious Counters

David Hildenbrand david@redhat.com 12. September 2022



Agenda

Background

- 1. Copy On Write
- 2. Mysterious Counters
- 3. Get User Pages
- 4. What could go wrong?
- 5. More Mysterious Counters

Anonymous Memory: PageAnonExclusive

- 1. Overview
- 2. Other Applications
- 3. What's missing?

Discussion

3

- 1. Future of the Mapcount?
- 2. Future of hugetlb COW-sharing during fork()?
- 3. Future of Page Reuse during COW?
- 4. Future of R/O Pinning?



Background



1. Copy-on-Write (COW) (1)

... we'll focus on COW in private mappings (MAP_PRIVATE)

Avoid creating a private copy of a page as long as there are no modifications

- Share page with COW semantics: map it R/O
 - Zeropage, pagecache page, anonymous page, KSM page ...
- Break COW on write fault
 - Create private writable copy





1. Copy-on-Write (COW) (2)

Shared anonymous pages? Important optimization for fork() ...

- Share anonymous page R/O between parent and child
 - Lazily copy on demand
- On write fault ... always create a private copy?
 - Wasteful: what if the child immediately quit?

Detecting possible sharing is a bit tricky ...

• Traditional: "how many user page tables reference this page (in)directly?"



2. Mysterious Counters

Refcount: one counter per folio (page_count)

• "how many tracked **references** to this **folio**"

Swapcount: one counter per subpage of a folio

- ... if the folio is in the swapcache
- "how many swap PTEs indirectly reference this subpage"

"Entire mapcount": one counter per folio

- "how often is this entire folio mapped into a user page table"
- ... and a **mapcount per subpage of a folio** and things get messy
 - page_mapcount(): entire mapcount + subpage mapcount

How to detect if an anonymous page is exclusive vs. shared?

- page_mapcount() + swap_count() == 1?
- page_count() == 1?

7



3. Get User Pages (GUP)

Lookup a page in a user page table and reference it for immediate/later use

- Short term: O_DIRECT, ptrace, ...
- Long term: VFIO, RDMA, io_uring fixed buffers, ...

... with various flavors:

8

- FOLL_GET: "access struct page"
- FOLL_PIN: "access page content"
- FOLL_WRITE: R/W vs. R/O

... and various special cases:

- FOLL_FORCE: ignore VMA permissions (debug access)
- GUP-fast: don't take any locks ...



4. What could go wrong (1) page_mapcount() + swap_count() == 1?

Parent		Child	
mem = mmap(MAP_PRIVATE)	mapcount: 1		
strcpy(mem, "Boring Data")			
fork()	mapcount: 2	assert(!strcmp(mem, "Boring Data")); fds = pipe() vmsplice(fds[1], mem) munmap(mem)	mapcount: 2 mapcount: 2 mapcount: 1
strcpy(mem, "Secret Data")	mapcount: 1 -> No COW	data = read(fds[0]) assert(!strcmp(data, "Boring Data"));	<i>mapcount: 1</i> -> Fail
CVE-2020-29374 (Jann Horn)			_

4. What could go wrong (2) page_count() == 1?

mem = mmap(pagesize, MAP_PRIVATE) PO, refcount: 1

memset(mem, 0, pagesize);

```
io_uring_prep_write_fixed(..., fd, mem, pagesize);
io_uring_submit(...)
io_uring_wait(...) P0, refcount: 1 → stale data written
```

10



5. More Mysterious Counters

Pincount: one counter for large folios

- "how often was this **folio** pinned via GUP"
- Can be speculatively raised by GUP-fast

... there is no pincount for order-0 folios?

- Bits in "struct page" are rare
- Mangled into the **refcount**

11

 \circ GUP_PIN_COUNTING_BIAS = 1024

folio_maybe_dma_pinned() cannot have false negatives

• ... but false positives in both cases

... COW decisions based on mysterious counters?



Anonymous Memory: PageAnonExclusive



1. Overview

13

PageAnonExclusive: definitely exclusive vs. might be shared

- Fresh or writable anonymous pages are exclusive (PageAnonExclusive set)
- Never pin an anonymous page that might be shared (PageAnonExclusive not set)
- Never share (clear PageAnonExclusive) an anonymous page that might be pinned

R/O Pinning a R/O-mapped anonymous page that is not exclusive?

• Trigger unsharing first – similar to a write fault (Andrea Arcangelli)



Reuse page if not exclusive: if there is only one reference (page_count() == 1)

• Can result in **unnecessary copies** on other (e.g., speculative) references



PageAnonExclusive set

2. Other Applications

mprotect(PROT_READ) -> mprotect(PROT_READ|PROT_WRITE)

- Exclusive anonymous page: Map the page writable
 - Avoid a write fault

FOLL_FORCE|FOLL_WRITE on MAP_PRIVATE VMA without PROT_WRITE

- Used for ptrace like */proc/self/mem* access
- Exclusive anonymous page: Allow pinning/referencing the page
- Fix for a security issue Dirty-COW for SHMEM (CVE-2022-2590)

NUMA hinting (WIP)

• **Same as mprotect()**: replace pte_mk_savedwrite() ...



3. What's missing?

hugetlb

- Still uses the mapcount to make COW decisions
 - hugetlb cannot deal with unnecessary copies

O_DIRECT conversion FOLL_GET -> FOLL_PIN

- Makes O_DIRECT/vmsplice/... with fork() fully functional
- John Hubbard is on it

Preserve exclusive flag on more architectures in swp PTE

- For now only x86-64, s390x, aarch64, ppc64/book3s
- Others can lose the exclusive flag

GUP-fast handling

• GUP-fast is tricky; one pending fix for PageAnonExclusive

selftests

• WIP :)



Discussion



1. Future of the Mapcount?

We no longer *need* the mapcount to make COW decisions

• well, hugetlb is an exception ...

... but it obviously has other users

- Detecting page table mappings: e.g., page_mapped()
- Detecting unknown references: e.g., mapcount != pagecount + 1
- Best-guess detection of "single page table mapping": e.g., MADV_PAGEOUT

... which raises the questions

- ... do we still need full accuracy (~31 bit)?
- ... do we still need a mapcount per THP subpage?
 - ... PG_doublemap, total_mapcount() ...



2. Future of hugetlb COW-sharing during fork()?

COW-sharing of hugetlb pages is awkward

- Hugetlb reservation ... hugetlb pages cannot really be overcommitted
- Running out of hugetlb pages during COW?
 - Let's just steal the page from the child process ...
- ... can we rework it and avoid COW-sharing during fork() altogether?
- Treat it as MADV_DONTFORK? :/
- Don't share but instead copy all pages for the child during fork (fail early)? :/

Maybe we should never have added COW-sharing of hugetlb pages ... can we deprecate?



3. Future of Page Reuse during COW?

Anonymous Pages

- We never reuse "maybe shared" anonymous pages if there is more than one reference
 - On a PTE-mapped THP, we never reuse
- But how could we really optimize without the mapcount+swapcount?

KSM Pages

- We never reuse KSM pages
 - Have to remove the page from the KSM (stable) tree
 - Have to convert KSM page -> anonymous exclusive page
- 52d1e606ee73 ("mm: reuse only-pte-mapped KSM page in do_wp_page()") implement that

Pagecache Pages

- We never reuse pagecache pages
 - Have to remove the page from the pagecache (only possible if clean? what else?)
 - Have to convert pagecache page -> anonymous exclusive page

... do we even care about optimizing these cases?



4. Future of R/O Pinning?

We don't want to R/O pin COW-shared pages with LONGTERM semantics

- The next write fault would break COW and turn the R/O PIN stale/unreliable
- Current workaround: FOLL_FORCE | FOLL_WRITE

MAP_PRIVATE

- We have to break COW if we don't find an exclusive anonymous page
 - pagecache page, shared zeropage ...
- "easy"

MAP_SHARED

- We usually don't care about FS-handled COW (lazy allocation of disk blocks)
 - ... we just don't want the mapped page to change
- DAX FS uses the shared zeropage to lazily allocate DAX pages .. any other cases?
 - ... do we really care for now?
- Implementing unsharing support in FS would be more involved



Thank you

Red Hat is the world's leading provider of enterprise open source software solutions. Award-winning support, training, and consulting services make Red Hat a trusted adviser to the Fortune 500.



