# how can we make procfs safe?

LINUX Plumbers Conference | Dublin, Ireland Sept. 12-14, 2022



#### current state of the art and a few libpathrs updates

#### Aleksa Sarai (SUSE) cyphar@cyphar.com

We are executing in an environment where a user has managed to mess with the filesystem and possibly mounts.

We want to be able to detect if we are being tricked into operating on a different path than the one we expected.

Main usecase is container runtimes, but basically any program operating in or on chroots would benefit.

LINUX Plumbers Conference | Dublin, Ireland Sept. 12-14, 2022



# threat model



# what's special about procfs?

With openat2(RESOLVE\_\*), any non-procfs filesystem path can be accessed safely with various resolution restrictions.

With procfs, we require more than just the path be resolved "reasonably", we want a **specific** procfs endpoint to be reached. The core issue is that /proc/self/environ and /proc/self/sched exist.

Linux Plumbers Conference | Dublin, Ireland Sept. 12-14, 2022



(r

In Linux 5.6, openat2(2) made this safe:

 > openat("/proc", O\_PATH)
 > Check the f\_type (fstatfs) and stx\_ino (statx).
 > openat2(<procfsfd>, "<pid>/attr/current", {RESOLVE\_NO\_XDEV|RESOLVE\_NO\_SYMLINKS})

Linux Plumbers Conference | Dublin, Ireland Sept. 12-14, 2022



# current status (non-magiclinks)

We can't use RESOLVE\_NO\_XDEV because they are almost always crossing a mountpoint. But you can also mount on top of them.

We can't use RESOLVE NO SYMLINKS for obvious reasons.



# what's the issue with magiclinks?



It turns out this is safe since Linux 5.8 (w/CAP SYS ADMIN): > open tree("/proc", OPEN TREE CLONE | AT RECURSIVE) Check the f type (fstatfs) and stx ino (statx). > openat2(<procfsfd>, "<pid>", {O\_PATH, RESOLVE NO XDEV RESOLVE NO SYMLINKS) > statx(<procselffd>, "exe", AT\_SYMLINK\_NOFOLLOW) Check whether STATX\_ATTR\_MOUNT\_ROOT is set. If not, safe to use (no races because of OPEN TREE CLONE).

(See this example program.)

LINUX Plumbers Conference | Dublin, Ireland Sept. 12-14, 2022



# current status (magiclinks)



## why does this work? (and why might it break in the future?)

The safety of this setup relies on several undocumented behaviours:

> OPEN\_TREE\_CLONE mounts are an O\_PATH to a bind-mount and there is no way for an external process to change any overmounts.
> Returned tree is an anonymous mount namespace.
> Even a CAP\_SYS\_ADMIN user in a different mountns cannot mount into it.
> Mount propagation to the clone is explicitly disabled.

Linux Plumbers Conference | Dublin, Ireland Sept. 12-14, 2022





#### •

- Lots of messing around with **O\_PATH**.
- No other syscalls support **RESOLVE\_IN\_ROOT**.
- How do we deal with old kernels?
- **Solution:** Rust library that provides "nice" helpers that Do The Right Thing<sup>™</sup>.
  - ... and it emulates RESOLVE\_IN\_ROOT on old kernels!
  - ... but this requires we port programs to use it.

LINUX Plumbers Conference | Dublin, Ireland Sept. 12-14, 2022



# libpathrs

Using openat2 (RESOLVE\_IN\_ROOT) correctly is non-trivial.

# an update on libpathrs

Safe wrappers for procfs.
Cache a safe open\_tree'd procfs handle.
procself\_get("exe") - or something...

Sane C API (which then can be used with Go nicely). <sup>></sup> Go programs are used to being able to call .Close() many times. <sup>></sup> Should we remove the footgun-guards and just pass fds?







# an update on libpathrs

How to model the (new and old) mount API?
> We want to use the new one to avoid using /proc.
> Should we abstract the whole thing or just expose the old one?

How much of the VFS API should be replicated by libpathrs? > In theory, any operation which has AT\_EMPTY\_PATH is okay. > How much should we trust library users to not footgun themselves?

Linux Plumbers Conference | Dublin, Ireland Sept. 12-14, 2022





# an update on libpathrs

## https://github.com/openSUSE/libpathrs

## Still being worked on. Plan to port umoci to this first.









# Dublin, Ireland September 12-14, 2022