

Google

eBPF Dynencap + Reflection

Brian Vazquez shrian Vazquez shrianvv@google.com



- Motivation
- In-kernel dynencap (Old)
- Bpf-ying dynencap (New)
- Reflection
- Conclusion



Motivation



Motivation and Problem statement

Motivation

Traffic Engineering(TE) to forward traffic via specific routers

Possible Approach (prior LWT)

Setting up multiple tunnel devices

Problem

TE using tunnels **required root permission** and **configuring hundreds of devices would have impacted the performance** of the system

Goals



- Allow unprivileged* applications to pick the exit point, without having to create tunnel devices or mocking with the routing table
- Allow each connection to be encapsulated to a different exit point
- Allow the exit point to change in the middle of the connection

In-kernel dynencap



In-kernel Dynencap

- Add per-socket state that is used in an IP tunnel device
- Using setsockopt: Modify the per-socket state to change destination and/or encapsulation headers

Setting destination

ENCAP_GW

Changing encapsulation headers

ENCAP_UDP





- Host Configuration (MSS clamping)
 - Use SO_MARK to select between a standard routing table and a special routing table
 - Rules/Routes to guarantee packets fit into mtu after encapsulation

ip -6 rule show

0: from all lookup local

1000: from all fwmark 0xF lookup 1000

32766: from all lookup main

ip -6 route show table 1000

default via fe80:: dev dynencap6 src fdaa::1 metric 1024 mtu lock 1444 advmss 1372 pref medium

- mtu = 1500 40 ipv6 16 encap = 1444
- mss = 1444 40 ipv6 20 tcp 12 opts = 1372



Challenges: cached MSS

Problem:

It is possible to **change routing** based on certain actions i.e. setting ip_tos/so_mark. If these actions are performed in the middle of a connection which requires a different mss, **the changes aren't reflected** since the **MSS** is **cached**



LINUX September 20-24, 2021 PLUMBERS CONFERENCE

Fix: Patch (To be proposed):

```
void inet csk refresh route(struct sock *sk)
     struct dst entry *dst;
     /* Do not attempt refreshing the route on listeners and closed
      * sockets.
     if ((1 << sk->sk state) & (TCPF CLOSE | TCPF LISTEN))
           return:
     /* Forget the old dst and look up a new one. */
     sk dst reset(sk);
     inet csk(sk) ->icsk af ops->rebuild header(sk);
     /* See if the new route has a different MTU we should sync.*/
     dst = sk dst get(sk);
     if (dst) {
           u32 mtu = dst mtu(dst);
           if (mtu != inet csk(sk) ->icsk pmtu cookie)
                 inet csk(sk) ->icsk sync mss(sk, mtu);
           dst release(dst);
```

bpf-ying dynencap



Lightweight tunnel(LWT) vs TC

Comparison

- LWT attaches to routes, TC attaches to qdisc
- Both run before software segmentation (GSO)
- Both received a skb as a context but LWT is more restricted in terms of reading/writing fields, and bpf helpers i.e. LWT don't have access to sk_local_storage

Decision

TC was chosen based on available bpf helpers

eBPF Dynencap: Design

Keep encap data in a sk_local_storage map

```
struct bpf_map_def __section("maps") dynencap_map = {
    .type = BPF_MAP_TYPE_SK_STORAGE,
    .key_size = sizeof(int),
    .value_size = sizeof(struct bpf_dyndest),
    .map_flags = BPF_F_NO_PREALLOC | BPF_F_CLONE,
};
```





eBPF Dynencap: Control Path

Provide/modify encap data at the sk level with setsockopt

```
SEC("cgroup/setsockopt")
int dynencap setsockopt(struct bpf_sockopt *ctx) {
  switch (ctx->optname) {
    case ENCAP UDP:
      return setsockopt_dyndest_encap_udp(ctx);
    case ENCAP GW:
      return dyndest_set_dst(ctx);
    default:
      ctx->optlen = 0;
      return 1;
  }
}
```



eBPF Dynencap: setsockopt

```
static int dyndest set dst(struct bpf sockopt *ctx) {
/*Create sk storage */
dd = bpf sk storage get(&dynencap map, ctx->sk, 0,
                        ctx->optlen ? BPF SK STORAGE GET F CREATE : 0);
/* Store IPv6 in sk local */
optval memcpy(ctx, &dd->dst.addr6, 0, sizeof(dd->dst.addr6));
/* Mark packets */
bpf setsockopt(ctx, SOL SOCKET, SO MARK, &mark, sizeof(ctx->sk->mark));
return -1;
* variables, error and boundary checks are omitted
```

Google



eBPF Dynencap: Data Path

Read encap data at TC egress hook, and modify the packet

```
int dynencap(struct sk buff *skb) {
/* lookup dynencap struct */
dd = bpf sk storage get(&dynencap map, sk,0, 0);
/* read outer network header, to reuse most fields */
bpf skb load bytes(...);
/* add room for encap */
bpf skb adjust room(skb, encap len, BPF ADJ ROOM NET, flags);
/* modify outer header */
/* Store outer and encap headers */
bpf skb store bytes(skb, offset, &outer ip6, sizeof(outer ip6), BPF F INVALIDATE HASH);
return TC ACT PIPE;
```

* variables, error and boundary checks are omitted





Problem:

- Neither TSO/GSO understand custom/multiple levels of encapsulation
- Packets need to fit the mtu after encapsulation headers are added

```
__dev_queue_xmit
sch_handle_egress
tcf_classify
__dev_xmit_skb
sch_direct_xmit
validate_xmit_skb_list
validate_xmit_skb
skb_gso_segment
```



Challenges: TSO/GSO

Fix: Add a tunnel device to force software segmentation to take place before packet is modified by BPF

```
dev queue xmit(dynencap6) // tunnel device
  dev xmit skb
      sch direct xmit
          validate xmit skb list
               validate xmit skb
                   skb gso segment
                                        // <--- executed because TSO is off. builds segments
          bond start xmit
              __dev_queue_xmit(eth0)
                  sch handle egress
                     tcf classify // <--- now inserts headers on segment skbs
                  sch direct xmit
                      bond start xmit
```

Reflection



Encap Reflection: motivation

• In the past, different **reflection features** have been implemented: **ToS, fwmark**. Now, with eBPF, implementing encapsulation headers reflection is possible

 As part of the TE, sometimes packets have to traverse along the same path, and may or may not need additional metadata such as a virtual network ID.

Most of the times, this encap data is irrelevant for the server processes. They
don't need to be aware of the overlay network



Reflection: how to extend eBPF dynencap

 Egress logic of eBPF dynencap can be reused. The only difference is how the BPF MAP is populated

 Instead of using setsockopt to specify the encapsulation headers, we want to store the data for incoming connections. The cgroup_skb_ingress hook is used to capture the data



```
LINUX September 20-24, 2021
PLUMBERS
CONFERENCE
```

```
SEC ("cgroup skb/ingress")
int rx reflection store (struct sk buff *skb)
 bpf skb load bytes relative (skb,
         offset, &ip6 outer, sizeof(struct ipv6hdr),
        BPF HDR START MAC);
outer len = bpf ntohs(ip6 outer.payload len);
if (outer len < inner len)
  return -1;
populate map(skb, dd, &ip6 outer, offset);
```

* variables, error and boundary checks are omitted

Google



```
PLUMBERS
CONFERENCE
```

* variables, error and boundary checks are omitted



Challenges: BPF_MAP_TYPE_SK_STORAGE

Problem:

sk_storage isn't available for listener sockets (req socket)

Fix:

 Have an ephemeral entry in a global bpf map isolated per cgroup with a 5-tuple as a key:

```
struct bpf map def section("maps") syn_encap_map = {
   .type = BPF MAP TYPE LRU HASH,
   .key_size = sizeof(struct connection),
   .value size = sizeof(struct bpf_dyndest),
   .max_entries = 1000,
};
```

Conclusion





What went well?

BPF-fying dynencap solved the goals initially set, and it was easily extended for encap headers reflection without invasive changes in the kernel

What went wrong?

Modifying packets in the middle of the connection uncovered unexpected issues (MSS cache, GSO/TSO), which led to non-trivial fixes

Nice to have?

- sk_local_storage for listener sockets?
- Tunnel (dummy) device without headers?
- BPF_MAP_TYPE_NS_STORAGE?

Contributors



Thanks to Coco Li, Mahesh Bandewar, Stanislav Fomichev and Willem de Bruijn

Thank you!

Questions?