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FUSE in Android

Extra permission checks on shared storage access, e.g., only some apps can access some folders

Data redaction, e.g., remove metadata from pictures

Live transcoding

Emulates the external storage regardless its location, e.g., (un)mounting external storage media
FUSE performance flaws analysis

- Additional FUSE daemon logic
  - That's part of the feature
- Extra VFS traversal
  - 2 file systems are accessed, extra checkings are desirable
  - Double caching for identical FUSE/lower fs files
- Data passing
  - Mostly pointers. Splicing and read-ahead help
  - Writes and rand-read/-writes should be improved
- Long pipeline
  - Communication delay, context switches, user ←→ kernel switches
- Parallelism
  - Extra locks

...for almost every FUSE file system operation
FUSE passthrough

Coming with Android 12

On LKML: V8, V9, V10, V11, V12
https://lore.kernel.org/lkml/20210125153057.3623715-1-balsini@android.com/
FUSE passthrough: Read, Write, MMAP

At file open, the FUSE daemon:

- `ioctl("/dev/FUSE", FUSE_PASSTHROUGH_OPEN, lower_fs_fd);`

That `fuse_file` gets a pointer to the lower file pointer

Upcoming read/write/mmap on that file:

- redirected to the lower file system
- use FUSE daemon credentials
- passthrough until close()
Performance in a nutshell: FIO on RAM block device

fio-3.23 on RAM device, x86_64, Linux 5.13

- bs=4Ki
- size=20Gi
- ioengine=sync
- fsync_on_close=1
- randseed=0

This highlights FUSE bottlenecks

- If we increase the storage device speed, FUSE performance doesn't change!

FUSE read performance is the result of good read-ahead
FUSE BPF

Experimental, soon on LKML
FUSE BPF: stacking fs + passthrough + extFUSE?

Implement a generic stacking file system

Allow requests to either be handled by FUSE or the backing file system

Allow pre and post filtering of backing file system request

Filtering can be either by the kernel, or through FUSE-style requests to userspace

Overcome FUSE passthrough limitations (per-file, read/write/mmap only)

Inspiration from

- extFUSE, presented by Ashish Bijlani at Plumbers in 2019 (https://linuxplumbersconf.org/event/4/contributions/415/)
- FUSE passthrough
- Stacking file systems, e.g., incremental fs
FUSE BPF at a glance

Add to fuse_inode:

- struct inode *backing_inode;
- struct bpf_prog *bpf;

These may be set at mount time for root, at lookup time for all other inodes

If backing_inode exists, all requests will be conditionally sent to the backing inode, else we are in classic FUSE mode

If no bpf: simply forward as is (pure passthrough mode)

If bpf: format fuse_args with in_args and send to BPF, which may redirect request to classic FUSE or

1. Optionally request user-mode pre-filter with same modifiable in_args
2. (Potentially modified) request is sent to backing file system
3. Optionally pass in_args & out_args to BPF post-filter
4. Optionally pass in_args & out_args to user-mode post-filter

Early prototypes being tested within Android team
FUSE passthrough

How can we do better for Linux?

Do we really want `FUSE_PASSTHROUGH_CLOSE`?

Can be done with a mapping container (e.g., `IDR`), but is not as simple as `fuse2` (extra spinlocks)

FUSE BPF

BPF is a good compromise between user space and kernel space (good fit for FUSE)

Would the Linux community benefit from this?

Is such architecture upstreamable?
Thanks!

Questions?
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